Exhibit I

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

1 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK 2 COUNTY OF NEW YORK - CIVIL TERM - PART 62 3 -----× In the Matter of Index No. NEW YORK TAXI WORKERS ALLIANCE; 160795/2023 4 AMARA SANOGO; RICHARD CHOW, 5 Petitioners, For a Judgment Pursuant to Article 78 6 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules 7 -against-8 DAVID DO, AS COMMISSIONER AND CHAIR OF THE NEW YORK CITY TAXI & LIMOUSINE 9 COMMISSION; THE NEW YORK CITY TAXI & LIMOUSINE COMMISSION; THE CITY OF NEW YORK 10 Respondents. -----X 11 Microsoft Teams 12 Oral Argument November 8, 2023 13 B E F O R E: HONORABLE J. MACHELLE SWEETING, Justice 14 15 APPEARANCES: 16 NEW YORK TAXI WORKERS ALLIANCE 17 31-10 37th Avenue, Suite 300 Long Island City, New York 11101 BY: ZUBIN DANIEL SOLEIMANY, ESQ. 18 BY: ALLISON J. LANGLEY, ESQ. 19 20 NEW YORK CITY LAW DEPARTMENT 100 Church Street 21 New York, New York 10007 BY: KAREN BETH SELVIN, ESQ. 22 BY: SUZY KIM, ESQ. BY: JESSICA LYNN KATZEN, ESQ. 23 24 Maria E. Rivera 25 Senior Court Reporter

1

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

2

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	
3	ALSO PRESENT:
4	THE NEW YORK CITY TAY I I MOUCINE COMMISSION
5	THE NEW YORK CITY TAXI & LIMOUSINE COMMISSION 33 Beaver Street, 22nd Floor New York, New York 10004
6	New York, New York 10004 BY: SHERRYL ELUTO, ESQ.
7	
8	AMANDA IKARD
9	RYAN WANTTANJA
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

3

Proceedings

THE COURT: Good afternoon to all. I am on the 1 2 record. And good afternoon to all counsel. I am Machelle 3 Sweeting, and I am the Judge presiding over this matter. This matter being that of New York Taxi Workers 4 5 Alliance, and other individually named petitioners, against Commissioner and Chair of the New York City Taxi & Limousine 6 7 Commission, and I'll say et. al, in that there are other 8 named respondents. 9 And you can place your appearances on the record, 10 and include in your appearance the address of your 11 respective law office. 12 Who's here for the petitioners? 13 MR. SOLEIMANY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 14 I am. My name is Zubin Soleimany, appearing for all petitioners. Address is New York Taxi Workers Alliance, 15 16 31-10 37th Avenue, Suite 300, Long Island City, New York 17 11101. THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Soleimany. 18 19 Who is here on behalf of the respondents? 20 MS. SELVIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 21 This is Karen Selvin of the New York City Law 22 Department. I'm appearing on behalf of all respondents. 23 The New York City Law Department is located at 100 Church 24 Street, New York, New York 10007. 25 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Selvin.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

4

Proceedings

1	Now, I see, Ms. Selvin, you said that you're here
2	on behalf of all of the respondents. And I heard
3	Mr. Soleimany is here on behalf of all of the petitioners.
4	But I still see on my screen additional persons.
5	So such person starting first with Allison Langley,
6	yes, identify yourself and the relationship to the case.
7	MS. LANGLEY: Allison Langley for all petitioners.
8	Same address which I'm happy to repeat, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Are you here with Mr. Soleimany?
10	MS. LANGLEY: I am, yes.
11	THE COURT: So my question becomes who is lead
12	counsel for purposes of today's appearance? Is it you,
13	Mr. Soleimany, or Ms. Langley?
14	MR. SOLEIMANY: It's me, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And I also see on my screen a
16	Jessica Katzen, K-A-T-Z-E-N. Please unmute yourself and
17	state your relationship to this case.
18	MS. KATZEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm also
19	an Assistant Corporation Counsel, representing all
20	respondents. I'm here was Ms. Selvin, but Karen Selvin will
21	be the lead attorney for respondents today.
22	THE COURT: You anticipated and answered what would
23	have been my next question. Good afternoon to you,
24	Ms. Katzen.
25	All right, I see other persons on my screen by way

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 01/16/2024 10:55 AM INDEX NO. 160795/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

5

Proceedings

1	of icons, but not by way of camera.
2	Counsel, are you familiar, Mr. Soleimany, with any
3	of the persons who are so identified on the screen?
4	MR. SOLEIMANY: I am. I believe a couple of them
5	are from TLC, but none of the persons will be from our side.
6	THE COURT: Then I direct my to attention to, Ms.
7	Selvin. Do you recognize any of the persons whose icons are
8	on my screen?
9	MS. SELVIN: I do, Your Honor. One of them is one
10	of our colleagues here at the New York City Law Department,
11	Amanda Ikard. And then the three other individuals are
12	representatives of TLC.
13	THE COURT: This court, whether in person or
14	remote, my court is open to the public, meaning even if they
15	were members of the public who wanted to observe the
16	proceedings, as long as they remain muted, they are able to
17	do so. So whether persons are identified or unidentified,
18	as long as there is no one who is disruptive to these
19	proceedings and is not recording in any manner, that is
20	audio, video, TikTok, all of the means and manners by which
21	there can be recording, that is a court order. And any
22	violation of such order is contempt of court.
23	With that, I'm ready to proceed, in that, this is
24	an order to show cause.
25	Counsel, by way of disclosure before I continue, I

6

Proceedings

must place on the record that while it may have been some 1 2 years ago, specifically either year 2010 or 2011 or 2011 to 3 2012, I had once served as an Administrative Law Judge for the Taxi & Limousine Commission. And that was for a period 4 5 less than one year, but it would have been the years of either 2010 through '12. 6 7 And just in the fullness of transparency and 8 disclosure, I need to hear from counsel, in that, this case 9 does have as a named respondent the office of which I was 10 formerly employed in the years that I've stated. 11 Is there any objection by counsel to my presiding 12 over this order to show cause? 13 I'll start first with you, Mr. Soleimany, because 14 it is your motion. 15 MR. SOLEIMANY: No objections, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Selvin? 16 17 MS. SELVIN: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. With that, the order to show 18 19 cause seeks the following relief: One, you want to enjoin the respondents from 20 21 accepting applications for new --22 And for, Madam Reporter, their electric vehicle 23 which you may hear counsel instead by shorthand say EV. So for purposes of the record it's electric vehicle. And FHV 24 25 for-hire vehicle licenses.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

7

Proceedings

1	Are those the acronyms that counsel may be using in
2	your respective arguments and papers, Mr. Soleimany?
3	MR. SOLEIMANY: That's correct, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Ms. Selvin?
5	MS. SELVIN: Yes, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: I'm going to start with that part of
7	the relief because well, let me correct myself. That's
8	one that you're seeking. Enjoining them from issuing new
9	licenses, from accepting applications for new licenses, from
10	processing such applications, and from implementing the
11	reinstatement of the electronic vehicle for-hire vehicle
12	license exemption rule.
13	Is that your application, Mr. Soleimany?
14	MR. SOLEIMANY: Yes, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: You state in your papers that one of
16	the basis upon which you're seeking such relief is that
17	there was, one, not an opportunity to comment, and there was
18	no notice, meaning a public hearing concerning the comment.
19	Is that correct?
20	MR. SOLEIMANY: That is correct, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Now, I'm going to start there, because
22	I read in opposition that there were hearings, that there
23	were hearings, there were reports.
24	Can we start first with because that's a matter
25	of fact, whether or not it occurred or did not occur, but

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

8

Proceedings

you all -- that's in dispute. 1 2 Tell me, Mr. Soleimany, what's your position as to 3 it? MR. SOLEIMANY: Sure. So I'm not sure if it's 4 5 necessarily in dispute. But I think there might be -- there 6 were two things going on roughly at the same time. There 7 were two proposals or two policies that TLC implemented on 8 October 18th that both relate to electric vehicles. 9 One of them is what TLC has termed the Green Rise 10 Initiative. Now, this is a rulemaking proposal that was 11 CAPA compliant, TLC published rules ahead of time, they held 12 hearings on it. 13 THE COURT: One moment. I don't want to interrupt, 14 but again I have a reporter, who we want to make sure 15 everything you say it has a record. You said it's CAPA compliant, and by that CAPA, 16 17 C-A-P-A, you meant the City Administrative Procedure Act, is that what you're referring to when you say CAPA? 18 19 MR. SOLEIMANY: CAPA, City Administrative Procedure 20 Act, correct. 21 THE COURT: Go ahead, continue. 22 MR. SOLEIMANY: So the Green Rise Initiative, what 23 that rule does is, it set, it set standards by which high 24 volume for-hire vehicle companies, so the largest sector of 25 the for-hire vehicle market, you know, Ubers and Lyfts,

25

Proceedings

would have to dispatch 100 percent of their trips to
 electric vehicles by 2030, with certain benchmarks in
 between.

Now, so that rule was passed through CAPA compliant rulemaking. That rule effectively requires the transition of the existing fleet of vehicles, that might be internal combustion vehicles, now to become electric vehicles by 2030. So that is one process which petitioners are not challenging those rules. We are not making any complaints about CAPA with regard to the Green Rise Initiative.

11 What happened the same day those rules passed, a 12 few hours later TLC announced that it was now going -- it 13 was reinstating a prior rule that had been duly promulgated 14 and had been duly repealed, but TLC was reinstating it by 15 announcement at a press conference with zero public input, 16 zero CAPA compliance. And that rule was to reinstate that 17 beyond requiring transition of the existing fleet to electric vehicles, would open up an unlimited number of 18 19 licenses to be issued to EV FHV fees. Now that process --THE COURT: That's what you're challenging? 20 21 MR. SOLEIMANY: That is what we're challenging. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Totally different thing. 23 MR. SOLEIMANY: I know Mr. DiGiovanni's affidavit talks about Green Rise Initiative 24

and comments that were submitted pursuant to that rule, but

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

10

Proceedings

1 at no time did TLC ever publish... we're going to reinstate 2 59A-06(a)(2) that had this exemption. Nobody was ever on 3 notice that was going to happen. People found out at a 4 press conference, and it was effective immediately.

5 THE COURT: Let me hear from Ms. Selvin as to that 6 point, because your papers in reading them say in opposition 7 there was a hearing, and you annexed I believe transcripts 8 or exhibits and you say there was notice. But Mr. Soleimany 9 says, no, not as to that point, same day, but different 10 issue. Can you address that point, then?

MS. SELVIN: Your Honor, the affirmation that we submitted does not say that we provided notice and a hearing regarding the issuance of the EV's. We did annex copies of comments from the September 2023 hearing on the Green Rise Initiative, because during that hearing a number of stakeholders expressed interest in additional EV licenses.

17 So we were providing that information to the Court 18 so you understood the concept that there was great demand 19 from stakeholders to open up these type of licenses.

Having said that, we do detail in that affirmation, and we provided Exhibit A to that affirmation a copy of Local Law 147 of 2018. That Local Law specifically indicates in section 1 subsection E that TLC does not have to engage in rulemaking to issue additional FHV licenses. And that is the provision that it is proceeding

Proceedings

	Proceedings 11
1	under when it opened up EV licenses recently as of October
2	18th.
3	THE COURT: So said another way, is it your
4	contention that hearings, if you will, and comment as to
5	opening up the number or the application process, if you
6	will, for the EV licenses that there was no public comment
7	or hearing that needed to take place at all?
8	MS. SELVIN: There did need to be a study conducted
9	by TLC, which TLC did. And that is required by the Local
10	Law. And TLC did conduct that study.
11	But again the City Council specified specifically
12	in subsection E of section 1 of that Local Law that TLC did
13	not need to go through CAPA to adopt any rules when it came
14	to issuance of these additional FHV licenses. And as such,
15	the TLC did not engage in additional rulemaking regarding
16	this issuance.
17	THE COURT: Now, can you agree that just as in my
18	read of your papers it resulted in this inquiry, that just
19	by way of transparency, that if on the same day you have
20	issues that are interrelated, that one could then believe
21	that it either, one, required or fell under CAPA and

22 required public comment or notice?

23 The fact that it's the same -- do you see the --I'm looking at sort of the perception of it, in light of it 24 having happened on the same day but interrelated issues that 25

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

12

Proceedings

you're now parsing out and saying ... no, we didn't need to 1 2 give notice. 3 It's not so artful my question, and it perhaps may be one that's rhetorical. But do you see what could be 4 5 problematic as to that? But if you want to say something as to it, I'll hear you, Ms. Selvin. Go ahead. 6 7 MS. SELVIN: Yes, I do understand, Your Honor. And 8 as noted in what is now the February 2023 report which was 9 issued in October it explained that TLC --10 (Experiencing technical difficulties.) 11 THE COURT: TLC they grouped them together, is what 12 I heard last. But repeat, Madam Reporter, can you tell me 13 what you have last, Ms. Rivera. 14 (Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back 15 by the court reporter.) MS. SELVIN: Okay, I think I'll pick up. It 16 17 indicates in that report that TLC was factoring in what was happening with the Green Rise Initiative into whether they 18 19 were going to open up and issue more EV licenses. So I do understand, Your Honor, the point you're 20 21 making. Having said that, the Local Law states very clearly 22 that TLC does not have to do rulemaking for the issuance of licenses, additional licenses. 23 24 And just to specify, the Green Rise Initiative 25 obviously is setting forth as Mr. Soleimany said, benchmarks

13

Proceedings

1	over the next few years as to how much of the fleet of high
2	volume FHV surfaces, those are the Uber and Lyfts of the
3	world, need to be either electric vehicles or he
4	misstated before they can also be wheelchair accessible
5	vehicles.
6	Unfortunately there's no electric wheelchair
7	accessible vehicle available in the market right now.
8	So by 2030 those high volume entities need to be
9	100 percent either EV's or what they call WAV's, wheelchair
10	accessible vehicles.
11	So in furtherance of that initiative, the City did
12	take that into account when deciding to open up these
13	additional licenses for electric vehicles, because that will
14	help meet those benchmarks going forward.
15	Having said that, there's a lot of other
16	intricacies involved with the Green Rise Initiative that did
17	in fact need to be going through the rulemaking. That is
18	not the case, though, for the issuance of the licenses.
19	THE COURT: I'm going to turn to another point.
20	MR. SOLEIMANY: Excuse me, Your Honor. Would it be
21	possible to respond to Ms. Selvin's point about Local Law
22	147?
23	THE COURT: I looked up and I did not see an
24	illuminated screen, so I was going on to the next point.
25	But, yes, Mr. Soleimany, go ahead.

14

Proceedings

1 MR. SOLEIMANY: Sure. So the structure of Local 2 Law 147 of 2018 has two main parts. The first part of it is 3 just the bill that the Council passed in 2018 responding to 4 the oversaturation of for-hire vehicles, driver income, 5 plummeting in the years preceding that, and put a 12-month 6 pause on the new issuance of FHV licenses, that's Section 1, 7 so Section 1(a) accomplishes that pause.

8 The Section 1(e) that Ms. Selvin referred back to 9 relates back to Section 1(a), to that 12-month pause when 10 the City Council was in charge of the pause. It does not 11 create, it does not create that right going forward.

What happened was when the 12-month pause that was controlled by Local Law 147 and Council, when that lapsed -well, prior to its lapse actually, the second part of Local Law 147 was codified and Ad Code at 19-550; 19-550 empowered the TLC to continue the license restrictions through its own rulemaking. And TLC did that.

So the idea that TLC can just invoke, invoke
Section 1 of Local Law 147 to take action without rulemaking
is incorrect.

I will, just for completeness I will say that TLC does have the power to issue some licenses through its administrative power, because they wrote their rules that way in 2019 when the Council pause lapsed.

25 That's what we discussed later in our papers at

Proceedings

1 Section 59A-06(a)(1).

2 Now, even there they have to pick a discrete number 3 and provide a rational basis for their choice. But before we get there what's important about the way that when the 4 5 initial pause lapsed, is TLC said... if we're going to do an EV exemption, an unlimited to licensing, they felt they had 6 7 to write that in their rules in 2019. They did not think we 8 have an unlimited power to do this at the rulemaking, they 9 wrote the wholesale exemption for EV's in 2019. Then in 10 2021, they came back and they said, you know what, EV's are 11 a lot more commercially available now, we need to ring this 12 in, because this exemption could swallow the rule in terms 13 of the controls that we have on congestion and driver 14 income. So they went through a CAPA compliant process and duly promulgated the repeal of that rule. 15

16 There is nothing in the structure of the Local Law 17 or TLC's regulatory history that would suggest that now 18 after going through that process twice if they want to 19 reopen that exemption, they can just do so with no CAPA 20 process at all.

THE COURT: So I have two questions, then, for you, Mr. Soleimany. Is it your argument that the TLC, 1, can issue the licenses but must go through the CAPA process? Or are you arguing that the TLC can issue the licenses but there's a cap that needs to be imposed? Or, 3, TLC cannot

15

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

16

Proceedings

issue the licenses? 1 2 Those are at least the three options that I've come 3 up with, but you may have some others. But what's your position as to those three that I just mentioned? Can they 4 5 issue the licenses, what's your position? MR. SOLEIMANY: TLC absolutely has the power to 6 7 limit -- to issue new licenses if they follow their own 8 rules, and if they --9 THE COURT: So the first part is, yes, they can issue the license. Question 2, then, is it that they have 10 11 to go through the CAPA process which you're saying is part 12 of their own rule? Is that your position? 13 MR. SOLEIMANY: Maybe I can make myself clear if I 14 say there are two paths that TLC could lawfully take here. 15 One is if they want a wholesale exemption for a class of 16 vehicles, they would do it the way that they have always 17 done it since 2019, which is to go through CAPA and 18 promulgate a rule. And that's because when you look at what 19 CAPA says a rule is in Charter 1041, but we know that it's any universally applied non-discretionary policy. So that's 20 21 a rule. 22 So if they want to say anybody with a licensing fee 23 an electric vehicle gets a car, that's a universally 24 applicable policy without discretion. That would be a rule. 25 They want to reopen that exemption, they would have to go to

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

17

Proceedings

rulemaking. And that's what they have done here, because they have tried to open up the broad rule with no discretion, here in this case they cannot, they cannot do that without CAPA compliant rulemaking. As to, you know, a hypothetical if they said we think -- as they did back in March -- they said we want to issue 1,000 EV licenses. Under 59A-06(a)(1) they are

allowed to issue a limited, a discrete number of licenses,
that the rule says pick a number, post the number, determine
a number, right, look at these factors and determine what's
the right number to serve the goals of Local Law 147 and
59A-06(a)(1) of keeping driver income from plummeting,
holding traffic congestion in check.

14 If they can perform a rational analysis with a 15 predicate in the data that supports licenses issuances, 16 then, yes, they can.

But what they've done here, and they don't know how many licenses are coming. Is it going to be a thousand, is it going to be 10,000, is it going to be 100,000? There would be no rational way for them to consider the factors in 59A-06 meaningfully and say, we know it's going to be okay, because they don't know how many licenses are coming.

When they put out a thousand licenses in March, they were snatched up online in a matter of minutes. They've got a wait list of 100,000 people.

18

Proceedings

You know, nothing in the analysis that they purport to have done in February of 2022 -- done February 2023, but just released right now in October says anything about how many licenses they expect to come on, and so they would be incapable of judging the impact which they would be required to under their own rules.

THE COURT: Ms. Selvin, I'm going to come back to you. But just before I forget my question, that's why I want to ask it now.

10 With that said, Mr. Soleimany, there's a prong of 11 your application where you're opposed to the TLC even 12 accepting applications. So part of your argument is they 13 have no idea as to how many people may want these licenses, 14 because they have this uncapped number, that part can be 15 determined, what's the prohibition or what would be 16 problematic about at least accepting the applications.

And I think somewhere in the papers it says what number may have been accepted already, or I think that's in your papers. But what would be the objection to -- isn't that a better practice to sort of get a feel of how many people may even be interested, that prong of your order to show cause.

23 MR. SOLEIMANY: Well, so I think, I think one way 24 of putting it, I think that is there in the order to show 25 cause for specificity for the equities which I will come

19

Proceedings

back to. But also the notion, so a couple of times the First Department has said in cases also involving the TLC, that, if you take an action and you don't follow CAPA when you pass the rule, even if you don't call it a rule, the whole, you know, the whole rule, the policy subject to it is null and void.

So, you know, if it had not been for the unlawful reinstatement of the EV exemption, TLC would not be accepting applications right now. That step would preserve the status quo. So it begins with the acceptance of the applications that wouldn't otherwise be happening.

When it comes to the equities here, you know, part of the problem here is, unlike the last round when TLC said a thousand licenses and you could apply get a statement of interest. Right now -- people didn't need to have a car back then -- TLC is requiring people to buy a vehicle in order to apply.

18 So it's concerning at this point that with no 19 advance notice just popping this on the industry overnight, 20 people may be buying vehicles in order to even begin the 21 application process. And it would be concerning if people 22 were buying vehicles pursuant to a program that is 23 ultimately shut down, struck down rather.

24 THE COURT: I'll put a pin on that because that's 25 another argument on the equities and the irreparable harm,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

20

Proceedings

1	which is why you're seeking an injunction, so I'll get to
2	that part next.

But, Ms. Selvin, I had you on pause fore Mr. Soleimany gave his rationale which is that the TLC can issue a discrete number of licenses, which is what they've done before, but this is not the case he argues. Instead you want to have unlimited number, no cap, no indication. And because of that, you must go through the CAPA rulemaking process.

10 Can you address those points that he's made or his 11 argument?

MS. SELVIN: Sure. I'll just note, you know, Mr. Soleimany I think is mischaracterizing what's in the Local Law. And I think the plain language of Section E makes it clear for the Court.

He is correct that Section A, 1-A of the Local Law 16 17 addresses the 12-month initial pause. That was a limited pause. The only -- if I recall correctly, the only licenses 18 19 people could get during that initial 12-month period were for wheelchair accessible vehicles. So no other licenses 20 21 were being issued. So TLC did not have authority to 22 promulgate rules during that time period to somehow override 23 that 12-month pause that was dictated by the City Council.

Now, in Section E, though, it goes on to say
notwithstanding subdivision A of the section. So they're

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

21

Proceedings

saying putting that aside, Your Honor, they then say the 1 2 Taxi & Limousine Commission may issue any number of new 3 for-hire vehicle licenses upon a determination by the Commission that issuing such number of new for-hire vehicle 4 5 licenses would increase the availability of for-hire services in different geographic areas of the City, where 6 7 such services are needed, and where such licenses would not 8 substantially contribute to traffic congestion.

9 And, and this is the key part. It specifically 10 says, Judge, and the promulgation of rules pursuant to 11 Chapter 45 of the New York City Charter -- that is the City 12 Administrative Procedure Act -- shall not be required for 13 any action taken by the Commission pursuant to the 14 subdivision.

So I'm not sure how much more clear the City
Council could have been in saying that TLC does not have to
do rulemaking for issuing new FHV licenses.

18 The fact that they have in the past done some in 19 this arena does not override the plain language of the Local 20 Law.

And I'll just say, you know, in their papers they reference the fact that in its September 2022 report the TLC recommended and ended up issuing a limited amount, 1,000 EV licenses. And that they did rulemaking associated with that.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

22

Proceedings

As we explained in our affirmation we submitted to the Court, that rulemaking was done because they had to establish TLC applicant eligibility rules for who was going to get priority for those limited amount of licenses.

5 The fact is the current TLC position is that 6 they've now opened it up. It is unlimited. Keep in mind, 7 though, Judge, they do study this every six months. So as 8 quickly as they open the spigot, they can close the spigot 9 if it turns out they study and they decide that there are 10 adverse effects happening from these new vehicles on the 11 road.

Having said that, and as we explained in our affirmation, and I can get into this a little more, Judge, we do think the professed harm that the petitioners are alleging is highly speculative.

As we explained in our affirmation, and actually I got the new numbers right before I got on this call, Judge, from TLC. If you're interested in hearing them.

19 The vast majority of the applications coming in are 20 from individual TLC licensed drivers. These are individuals 21 who are already leasing vehicles.

So what's happening, as TLC can tell, is that a lot of people are deciding to become owner/operators, which is good, it's going to probably reduce down their monthly cost and get them out of predatory licensing agreements.

23

Proceedings

We do not think at this point it's adding an 1 2 excessive amount of vehicles to the road. Why? Because 3 there's a pool of drivers, right, Judge? Everyone who drives one of these vehicles has to be licensed by TLC. 4 5 If they are driving one car, they can't be driving another car at the same time. 6 7 So what we think is happening is that over 90 8 percent of these applicants so far, Your Honor, are people 9 moving from the predatory leases into owning their own 10 vehicles. 11 And at this point we are not foreseeing a heavy increase in new vehicles. Now, will there be new vehicles 12 13 on the road? Of course. But as is set forth in the 14 February 2023 report, TLC as well as DOT looks into traffic congestion. They look into all these factors they are 15 required to look into under the Local Law. 16 17 And they've determined right now that there is very little risk of excessive congestion happening courtesy of 18 19 these new EV licenses. We think actually people are changing out one vehicle for another vehicle. 20 21 As for Mr. Soleimany's argument regarding the rule 22 and whether the City is operating ultra vires, I think 23 that's more of a form over substance argument. He keeps inserting, and he did this in his papers and he's done it 24 25 again during this oral argument saying that the rules sets

24

Proceedings

forth it needs to be a discreet number. The word discreet is nowhere in that rule, Judge. That's being inserted by the petitioners. It just says they need to set forth a number. In this case the number right now is there's no cap. It's unlimited.

I mean under that argument which, you know, I would argue again is more of a form over substance argument, it would seem that petitioners would not have an objection if TLC came out today and said, fine, I'm going to issue a million new EV licenses. Instead of saying unlimited.

11 You know, again, that seems more of a form over 12 substance argument, and I would argue isn't a basis for 13 enjoining this program.

14 Finally, I will just note, and I do think this 15 factors heavily into the balance of the equities argument 16 and Mr. Soleimany referred to this.

17 THE COURT: Well, he hasn't finished his argument. 18 I interrupted Mr. Soleimany as he was about to go into the 19 points of equity. And because I'm hearing from you now on 20 your argument, I am going to come back, and then I'll come 21 back to you again.

22 Okay, so go ahead, finish.

23 MS. SELVIN: You know, he mentioned the fact that 24 people are having to purchase vehicles when they are 25 applying for these licenses. That is correct. They need to

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

Proceedings

be attaching a VIN number for a vehicle to their
 application.

3 So we do now have quite a few people who have either purchased an electric vehicle or have put a down 4 5 payment or a deposit down, which is probably nonrefundable, in anticipation of getting these licenses. And I do think 6 7 that does weigh on the balance of the equities argument in 8 favor of the respondents, because you're talking about people who have made a significant financial investment in 9 10 these licenses.

11 And you know, if you were to shut off the 12 applications or the City being able to license these people, 13 it would be a significant -- it would be significant harm to 14 those individuals.

And I will just note, again, a lot of these people are individually licensed TLC drivers. I wouldn't doubt that some of them are members of NYTWA, and they're wanting to become owner/operators.

And if the Court were to issue this injunction, you would not be maintaining the status quo, Your Honor, you would be altering the status quo.

This has been open for three weeks. And again I have the new numbers. I can give everyone the new numbers that TLC gave me right before I got on this call.

25 THE COURT: What are those numbers?

26

Proceedings

MS. SELVIN: Yes. The new numbers are as of today total applications is 1,746, of those 1,645 are individuals. So those are individually TLC licensed drivers.

TLC has licensed as of today 36 of these vehicles; 28 are pending inspection, meaning they've made it pretty much all the way through the process, and just the vehicle needs to be physically inspected.

8 I did want to note also, Judge, and I think this 9 factors into the validity of NYTWA's argument. There's no 10 cap on wheelchair accessible vehicles. I'm not quite clear 11 why they're challenging the issuance of EV licenses, but 12 then in the next breath they're not challenging wheelchair 13 accessible vehicles.

But as of today through this year TLC has licensed 2,586 wheelchair accessible vehicles with 99 licenses just this past month in October.

17 So we already have a lot -- thousands of new 18 vehicles on the road this year that are WAV's that NYTWA and 19 the petitioners are not challenging, but they're challenging 20 people of being able to get out of their predatory leases 21 and buy an electric vehicle. And I'm not sure of the 22 reasoning for that. But maybe Mr. Soleimany can explain 23 that.

24 THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's the number of 25 questions why, the why is not worn out on the record. Just

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

27

Proceedings

1	for purposes of I'm looking at your papers. This is in
2	the affirmation NYSCEF document number 46, page 9 is the one
3	where you've updated the numbers
4	MS. SELVIN: Correct.
5	THE COURT: as to the applications, okay.
6	All right, I'm now ready to hear from you,
7	Mr. Soleimany, as to the arguments for equity. What I've
8	heard and read is, one, if it's and I'll use the term but
9	no one has used it prejudicial in any way. It would be
10	prejudicial to those, that is the granting of an injunction,
11	it would be prejudicial to those who may have already
12	invested in a vehicle or paid the down payment which is
13	nonrefundable, and so the scale of equity would tip in their
14	favor.

And I also heard and mentioned in their papers, youtalk about reducing the income of current drivers.

And Ms. Selvin indicates that by way of those who have applied, that at least 1,645 people, not only are they individuals, meaning individual new applicants, but they are people who are already TLC licensed drivers.

21 And I also heard that part of the eligibility 22 requirement is that if they are current TLC licensed 23 drivers, they can't also now come in under the new EV 24 driver, so it's not a situation where you have sort of 25 double licensing, if you will, if that's the right term for

28

Proceedings

1 it. But is that fair -- but before you answer that, let me 2 make sure.

3 Is that fair to say, did I hear you correctly, Ms. In other words, if someone has a TLC license 4 Selvin? 5 already and is driving whatever vehicle they have, one of the existing vehicles, they are no longer driving that 6 7 vehicle because one of the terms and the conditions if 8 they're accepted, part of the application process is they 9 will no longer drive the other vehicle. Is that correct? 10 MS. SELVIN: That's not part of the terms and 11 conditions, Your Honor. That was more just a common sense 12 argument that you can't be in two places at once. 13 So if you're driving your own vehicle, you can't be 14 driving at the same time someone else's vehicle that you're licensing. So what it appears is that --15 THE COURT: Couldn't you then lease your vehicle, 16 17 though? 18 MS. SELVIN: Well, the individual operators are 19 representing that they're going to be driving their own vehicles, Your Honor. They are not corporations. A lot of 20 21 the licensing companies are actually corporations. So these

23 owner/operators.

22

24THE COURT: But is that part of the criteria? That25maybe... I'm a Davidson College honor code person, so if you

are people coming in representing they are going to be

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

29

Proceedings

say you took the exam, teacher and all believes you... but 1 2 is that part of the process? Could someone hypothetically, if you will, have 3 their older vehicle, apply for and qualify and get this new 4 vehicle and lease the old one out to someone? 5 MS. SELVIN: Well, I believe they are limited. And 6 7 I can check on this, Your Honor, with TLC or actually 8 probably Mr. Soleimany knows this. I believe if you're becoming an owner/operator, you're limited to one vehicle. 9 THE COURT: Okay, okay. 10 11 MS. SELVIN: But the corporate entities are the 12 ones who are leasing out. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And currently that's not where 14 you're getting the bulk of your applications? MS. SELVIN: Right. I mean over 90 percent are 15 16 individuals. As of today only 101 of the applications are 17 from corporations of the total 1,746. 18 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Soleimany, I'm now on to 19 I'll hear from you. equity. 20 MR. SOLEIMANY: Sure. I would like to respond to a 21 couple of points first. Do you mind if I come back or if I 22 state them now before I forget? 23 THE COURT: You can go ahead in any order, because 24 now it's my turn, I have my pen, because I didn't want to 25 forget what I wanted to ask. So I'm on to you, go ahead.

Proceedings

MR. SOLEIMANY: I appreciate it, Your Honor. 1 2 So I do want to respond to Ms. Selvin's point about 3 why petitioners haven't challenged the exemption for WAV's. And I think, well, that's very simple, because it's a duly 4 5 promulgated rule under CAPA that TLC properly adopted after notice and common rulemaking. So I'm not guite sure what 6 7 kind of challenge we could make in our Article 78 to that by contrast to the EV exemption that was announced at the press 8 9 conference.

I do think in terms of impact of those WAV's, I think Ms. Selvin's data bears that out. I think as we say it took 11 months to get 2,500 wheelchair accessible vehicles, which we use the term WAV -- forgive me if I didn't explain -- took 11 months to get 2,500 of those.

Ms. Selvin says it took, what, two and a half weeks to get 1,700 electric vehicles. We know from this, we know in the 100,000 person wait list that these applications are going to overwhelm and flood the industry. And this goes to the irreparable harm. This goes to the equities.

You know, this isn't an experiment, like this isn't a pilot program. We've seen what happens when TLC had -you know, when the law is structured this way. When this oversaturation happened from 2014 to 2018, I think 85 percent of the drivers were making under the minimum wage, 40 percent of them gualified for public assistance, you

30

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

31

Proceedings

know, in like an 18-month period nine drivers took their
 lives. I mean, there are very serious implications to what
 happens when government advocates its role here. And this
 is not just me saying this.

5 When speaker of the City Council passed Local Law 147, this is what he did. He invoked the drivers who had 6 7 lost their lives. It's in the legislative history as the 8 animating principle that the economic justice that counsel 9 was going to pursue was going to on the one hand pursue 10 driver pay rules and on the other hand limit licenses to 11 stop oversaturation, and that those two would work together. 12 And the way that they're structured have to work together.

13 You know, right now it's been three weeks with 14 people buying cars and you're at 1,700 plates. In our papers we explain that in an example where it's just 7,000 15 16 plates come online, you're looking at a driver losing 17 take-home pay of 14 percent a year, \$4,000 a year, for 80,000 drivers is hundreds of millions of dollars a year, 18 19 millions of dollars a week, and those harms, those loss of -- that lost income cannot be recovered in an Article 78 20 21 action, cannot be recovered in any other action against the 22 City, and that damage is irreparable.

And this affects everybody, whether drivers who own their own cars right now, all 80,000 current drivers, or drivers who are currently leasing they will still be working

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

32

Proceedings

1 under conditions of oversaturation.

2 You know, Ms. Selvin is talking about owners leasing charges. Petitioner, Taxi Workers Alliance, has 3 repeatedly and formally asked TLC to promulgate rules 4 5 regulating the charges of leasing of for-hire vehicles, of getting rid of onerous charges. They do it for yellow cabs. 6 7 You can only pay so much for a yellow Toyota Camry every 8 week. They've refused after repeated entreaties and 9 petitions for rulemaking to do the same for the for-hire 10 vehicle side of the sector.

11 So to blow up the cap and allow tens of thousands 12 of vehicles to flood the street because some drivers have 13 been paying onerous leasing costs it's like tearing down 14 your house because the roof leaks, you know, patch the leak.

But we're not -- I mean, we're not here to debate discretionary policy, right? We're here on a straightforward CAPA issue.

18 So on the equity, I mean just the impact on drivers 19 is going to be huge. We see that these cars are coming on 20 quickly, and beyond this there's also the environmental harm 21 here too.

EV's of course have no tailpipe emissions. But as Charles Komanoff notes in his affidavit the energy grid in New York is mostly fossil fuel, and it's actually dirtier than it was a few years ago before Indian Point Nuclear

33

Proceedings

Plant closed down. There are still increased carbon
 emissions from adding more cars, rather than converting the
 fleet.

Another reason we know this is because when the TLC passed the repeal of the EV exemption in 2021, they said it themselves. They said adding more cars even if they're EV's then it causes more carbon emissions. A better way is to transition the fleet, the existing fleet into EV's which is what they did, and now they're taking that point back.

You know, when we get to -- when we're looking at the power that TLC has to do this, whether it's through rulemaking or not, even under 59A-06 they have to take a look at these factors of congestion and income and emissions.

And you know, what we're seeing right now is actually compared to when the CAPA is in place, there are less trips now per active driver than there were even before the pandemic. The number hasn't even recovered, but TLC wants to put more cars out there. Traffic congestion is still at 2019 levels.

And I think, you know, nothing -- the dynamic here hasn't changed since in the February '23 report, the dynamic of all these factors that TLC has to consider hasn't changed since September 2022 when acting Commissioner Ryan Wanttanja wrote in a TLC press release, the existing for-hire vehicle

34

Proceedings

1 fleet already exceeds passenger demand, and putting more
2 non-accessible cars on the road will only add congestion to
3 our streets and undermine driver pay while providing no
4 benefit to passengers.

5 So even with the discretion engaged in this 6 rulemaking with those dynamics identical to the way they 7 were as they wanted them in 2022, there's no possible basis 8 that as TLC identified to put these cars out there.

9 On the point of what cars will be out there and 10 more cars on the street, I do want to note that, right, an 11 individual operator who would get a license, yes, of course 12 they can, and they do just lease their cars out. That's a 13 net gain.

Also the corporations, the lease of FHV's, if a currently driver goes to get their own plate, yes, they will just put -- they will just put those cars out there, and there will be an additional net gain in cars.

And nothing here, of course, will be stopping, you know, a company like for example Revel getting more cars and putting more drivers out on the streets.

So that -- and to the equities on the point about the drivers and their -- and who TLC requires to have a vehicle before they apply. I would just note the applicable standard, I'm looking at the balancing of the equities is the relative harm to each party. The party here are City

15

35

Proceedings

respondents and the harm to them is a delay in their
 procedure and getting this out.

3 You know, we are raising this point because we are concerned that by TLC moving as quickly as they did in the 4 5 public interest, we are concerned about what would happen to these people who, you know, if TLC had been more 6 deliberative about this, if they had not moved so hastily, 7 8 if they had moved with public input and followed CAPA, there 9 would have been time to address this before anybody had 10 gotten themselves in that position, but they've been put in 11 that position by TLC's failure to follow the CAPA.

MS. SELVIN: Your Honor, may I respond to what Mr. Soleimany has said? You're on mute, Judge. Just want to make sure you're saying yes.

THE COURT: I am.

MS. SELVIN: Just a few things. First of all, you know, in making these arguments, the petitioners ignore the fact that TLC does do a study of all these factors every six months. And their latest report dated February 2023 noted the reasoning for why they think this is an appropriate time to open up the EV licenses.

First of all, just as a basic premise. You know, Mr. Soleimany noting the first deputy commissioner's comments from early 2022, which was based on, you know, data from 2021 during the heart of the pandemic and why TLC was

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

Proceedings

not issuing new licenses, I think is, you know, out-of-date 1 2 information. Obviously times have changed. And as the 3 February 2023 report noted, rides have rebounded to close to pre-pandemic levels. 4 5 Are they almost fully there yet? No. But they're going in that direction. And that was noted in the report. 6 7 They also noted, they looked at driver incomes. 8 Driver earnings for the most part have recovered from before 9 the pandemic. So they looked at driver earnings. Thev 10 looked at rental cost of FHV's, the leasing, the leasing 11 cost which remain very, very high. They looked at the level 12 of trip growth since last reported in each borough. They 13 noted that the wait times remained fairly stable. They did 14 note the interest in the thousand EV licenses that were offered in March of 2023. 15 I think Mr. Soleimany keeps misstating. It wasn't 16 17 a wait list of 100,000 people. I think it was 10,000 18 people. 19 Correct? I thought you had in your papers it was

20 10,000.

21 MR. SOLEIMANY: No.

MS. SELVIN: But having said that, Judge, we saw this when the pause went into effect in 2018. There's an element of gold rush mentality when people think the licensing is going to be cut off. They all run to get in

37

Proceedings

their applications quickly because they want to get approved before the spigot is shut off.

3 Having said that, as is noted in the February 2023 report, a significant amount of these licensed FHV's are 4 inactive. 5 They note in the February 2023 report, they looked at, you know, January, January of that year, and it 6 7 was over 20,000 I believe it was, were inactive, weren't 8 being used. They also looked at a six-month time period, and it was over 10,000 of the licenses were inactive and not 9 10 being used.

11 So you have a lot of times people running to get 12 these licenses when they think the spigot is going to be cut 13 off. And then they actually end up not using the license. 14 And they've been seeing this as set forth in the report that, you know, since the pause, right, there was a great 15 16 rush right before that pause for everyone to get in and get 17 That, as people are coming up for renewals, some licenses. of them are not renewing, so the numbers are going down. 18

You also have people who are even renewing who arenot using their vehicles for for-hire activity.

So TLC has been looking at all these factors. And they also note, and as the petitioners are aware, there are other mechanisms now in place, many of which just came on in the last few years that will help control traffic congestion.

38

Proceedings

1 TLC has passed minimum pay rates for those high 2 volume services like Uber and Lyft. Not to get too into the 3 weed on that topic, Judge, but basically, you know, if they 4 operate very inefficiently, Uber, they have to pay more 5 often. So it's in their interest not to put a ton of cars 6 on the road just circling over and over.

We also know, and it's been in the news a lot lately congestion fees are being imposed, right, so that's restricting the amount of cars that are probably going to be coming into especially the central business district in Manhattan.

12 So there are a number of other factors that are now 13 playing in that will alleviate some of the initial concerns 14 that were voiced back in 2018.

15 Also, I'll just note, you know, Mr. Soleimany 16 mentioned, you know, the general environmental concerns. 17 That does not give these petitioners standing. You know, 18 making a climate argument and saying we don't think this is 19 going to be good for the climate and we think actually electric vehicles might make something worse, I'm not sure I 20 21 agree with that. But that doesn't give these specific 22 petitioners standing.

And I think that is a preliminary issue that the Court needs to address. Because as is noted in their petitions, all of their professed harms are speculative,

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

Proceedings

1 they are.

Even Mr. Soleimany acknowledged, he doesn't know what's going to happen, how many licenses or what the impact could be, yet he's asking this Court to issue an injunction basically and stop it.

While TLC, and in conjunction I note, Judge, they 6 7 do it with DOT too regarding the congestion part of their 8 study. They're looking at this every six months, and 9 they're looking at all these factors. And they are the 10 expert agency. And the City Council knows they are the 11 expert agency, which is why they gave TLC authority to 12 study, to look at this at least annually and issue licenses 13 without going through rulemaking.

In this case TLC believes it makes sense to open up EV licenses right now. Again, they are going to study this in a few months. And if they see adverse consequences of what's happened, they can turn off the spigot as soon as they turned it on.

But we do not believe that the petitioners have shown that they will suffer irreparable injury. We do believe for the reasons I set forth before that the balance of the equities weigh in favor of the respondents.

As Mr. Soleimany knows, the balance of the equities deals with the public interest. So the interest of all those other drivers and individuals who have purchased

40

Proceedings

1	vehicles	anticipating	they are	getting	these	licenses	are
2	directly	in play I wo	uld say,	Your Hond	or.		

And as I just noted, I think the February 2023 report sets forth very clearly that this was not arbitrary and capricious. I note that petitioners are not contesting any of the factual information set forth in that report. They're just saying make the leap that there are going to be more cars on the road and this is going to hurt us.

9 And while I appreciate Mr. Soleimany's comments 10 about the WAV's, the bottom line is over 2,500 new vehicles 11 have come onto the market this past year that are WAV's. So 12 if their main concern is that it was an increase in vehicles 13 was going to adversely impact the current set of owners and 14 licensees, then I'm not sure why they weren't challenging, 15 you know, the WAV unlimited.

16 You could challenge the WAV unlimited, whether it's 17 by rule or by law. If you don't think it's justified, you 18 can file a lawsuit. And they haven't done that.

So for all these reasons, Judge, we would - THE COURT: You muted yourself before I heard for
 all these reasons, Judge...

22 MS. SELVIN: I would urge you to deny the TRO. 23 THE COURT: I do have a question, that is, the 24 argument is -- you stated on the record what your 25 perspective positions are. But if at this rate if you're

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

41

Proceedings

receiving 1,645 applications every three weeks, my 1 2 underlying question is whether or not there is an 3 application date, even if there's not a cap on the number, is there a date by which whoever is interested must apply? 4 5 MS. SELVIN: No, Your Honor. But what I will say also is this, and I think we should all keep this in mind. 6 7 The petitioners went to the press about this lawsuit, so 8 it's out there that they're trying to turn off the spigot. 9 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to interrupt you 10 because that's not my question. And my rulings are not in any way influenced by -- and by the way, I have not read 11 12 anything about this issue, so let me just make it clear. 13 But my question which you've answered is that there's no 14 application deadline date. MS. SELVIN: That is correct. Judge, I was just 15 16 noting that fact because I think the pace --17 THE COURT: Ms. Selvin, and at this rate in the 18 three weeks there has been 1,000 -- I'll use the total --19 The point that you were going to make was 1,746 applicants. 20 that, Judge, that should not be the sort of variable or 21 calculation I should consider, because you believe there may 22 be some outside influences just for purposes of that 23 calculation of causing the applications numbers to go up to 24 make a point? 25 MS. SELVIN: Right.

1

42

Proceedings

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SELVIN: I think, I think the rate is going to not -- it's been very high right now, because I think there are people out there who think the Court may close the spigot. I don't anticipate this rate of applications continuing at this level was my point.

7 THE COURT: But in the absence of a deadline and 8 unless you all have some secret powers that I'm not aware 9 of, I know I don't have a crystal ball and can predict what 10 or whether another 1,746 will apply in the next three weeks 11 going forward, more or less, I don't know.

12 But hypothetically, if it continued at that rate or 13 had increased, if the argument that you made, which is that 14 because the applicants must invest and that it would be 15 prejudicial, if you will, because they have already applied 16 that may lead to some other litigation if you had been 17 denied, because it's told once you apply it's non-refundable 18 assuming, they meet all the criteria they can then get their 19 It can't be retroactively then I'm sure you can't, vehicle. so the harm of the investment will already have taken place. 20

21 So is the TLC saying -- or respondents, I should 22 say -- saying that even if they continued at this rate, 23 there's nothing problematic?

Is there not some number that you have in mind that would be consistent with the reports and consistent with not

43

Proceedings

1 contributing to traffic congestion, contributing to meeting 2 the need of increasing services, is there some number that 3 you either don't want to exceed?

MS. SELVIN: Your Honor, they would be studying this every six months, so they would see what number is out there, and they would be assessing all the variables they're required to study.

8 So depending on what the circumstances are when 9 they conduct that study, they would look to see if there's 10 been a large increase in the number of vehicles and there's 11 been adverse effects on the categories of information 12 they're supposed to study.

13 THE COURT: Now, you mentioned the February 2023 14 report, and you also said that there will be a study again 15 in a few months, is it six months from February which would 16 have been August or is it -- when is the next six-month 17 study period, if you will?

MS. SELVIN: Your Honor, I can find that out for you and let the Court know. If you'll give me a second, I can probably email someone. But they do it every six months, so I can check with TLC.

22 MR. SOLEIMANY: Your Honor, just to correct a point 23 that's relevant right now. Ms. Selvin keeps talking about a 24 six-month review. It's not six months. The TLC changed 25 their rules this past year to change the six-month review to

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

44

Proceedings

a 12-month review. So there will not be a review in six 1 2 months. 3 THE COURT: Let's see if she can verify that and if it is --4 5 MS. SELVIN: Mr. Soleimany is correct, Your Honor. I just verified. They just switched it to annual, which is 6 7 what the Local Law requires. 8 THE COURT: And if it is annual, is the next study February of 2024? 9 10 MS. SELVIN: I believe so, Your Honor, but I can 11 verify that as well. So that would be in a little over 12 three months. Let me just verify that for you, Judge. 13 MR. SOLEIMANY: And you know on this point, Your 14 Honor, I think it bears noting that this -- the so-called February 2023 report was not published until October 18th 15 16 when the announcement was made. So we don't really know 17 when we might expect the next 12 month --18 MS. SELVIN: Judge, they have confirmed that it 19 will be February 2024 is the next study. THE COURT: Who's confirmed? 20 21 MS. SELVIN: Your Honor, I'm in touch with the general counsel of TLC who just quickly emailed me that 22 23 date. 24 THE COURT: Does anyone wish to be heard further 25 then, in light of what has now been argued and stated on the

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

Proceedings

45

1	record?
2	MR. SOLEIMANY: If I may just make a couple quick
3	points, Your Honor, if that's all right.
4	THE COURT: Go ahead.
5	MR. SOLEIMANY: I do, just for the sake of clarity
6	here, want to reiterate the structure of Local Law 147. I
7	believe that section of the law that is not codified in the
8	Ad Code is set to take care of that initial 12-month period.
9	And you can, you know, it's inherent in the structure that
10	the responsibility for maintaining the count or choosing to
11	lift the cap is then passed on to TLC at that point.

Because if it were still true, if it were still true that the factors, the limited factors enumerated in 1-E were still controlling, then 59A-06(a)(1) which has a much more extensive list of factors and explains the process would kind of be a nullity. There would be no point in having TLC put those regulations down if it didn't have to follow them.

19 If there were still an overriding section of a 20 non-lapsed Local Law 147(1)(a), so just in terms of 21 statutory structure in relationship to regulations that just 22 wouldn't make sense.

I would note in terms of the equities and the rules, the driver pay rules that Ms. Selvin mentioned. So the driver pay rules, they do not set a minimum rate of pay

46

Proceedings

They set a minimum rate of pay per trip, and if 1 per hour. 2 trips go down, then you're not making as much money. 3 She did say that the utilization rate, you know, is designed such that if drivers spend less of each hour 4 5 working the rates would change. She didn't mention that TLC just hollowed out this rule last year when they created a 6 7 five percent buffer zone, where that utilization rate which 8 was the baseline was 58 percent can go down by 5 percent, 9 meaning the drivers work time can go down by roughly 9 10 percent without those rates adjusting. 11 Given the expenses in this industry, a 9 percent 12 decrease in gross pay is roughly a 13.8 decrease in 13 take-home pay. 14 Further, it's on the record at the last TLC hearing in March that Uber for one said, well, if that happens, if 15 16 we even get close to drivers hitting that threshold where 17 the rates would change, we'll just start logging drivers out of the app, so they wouldn't be getting that compensation 18 19 anyways. So you know, there's no safety net here for what 20 21 happens when drivers get less trips. 22 On the congestion point. The congestion fees that 23 MTA has been contemplating and the current congestion fee 24 that exists, they're contemplating a per trip surcharge to 25 be paid by the customer. So it's not a per vehicle charge

Proceedings

that would disincentivize drivers from putting on cars or those cars hitting the road. There's no way in which that would, you know, it might disincentivize people from, from taking rides in certain areas. But the cars are still going to be there, and that's still where the bulk of the work is.

6 I would note as to the first congestion charge and 7 the pay rules, the idea that -- I think the position that TLC is taking, well, these are new things that make it safe 8 9 to do this now. The congestion surcharge and the pay rules 10 were in effect since 2019. You know, and this just goes to 11 the point that nothing about the overall dynamic of work per 12 driver, the regulatory framework has changed since 2022 when 13 the acting commissioner of NYTWA said the only thing that would come if we do this is decrease driver income and more 14 congestion. 15

16 THE COURT: I do have another question. How long 17 is the process from the time someone applies to the 18 processing and the issuance? It may vary depending on 19 documents and the individual who's applying, but give me a 20 sense of how much time are we talking about, someone who has 21 applied today theoretically can be processed and approved.

MS. SELVIN: Your Honor, I can just let you know, obviously the first gentleman who was licensed I think was able to be licensed in a couple of weeks. You know, besides the paperwork and the City having to, you know, verify all

47

48

Proceedings

your information, you actually have to physically go get
 your car inspected, so getting an appointment for the
 inspection can vary things.

Obviously now there are, you know, are a lot of applications, there's going to be a longer wait time than the people who came on, you know, who were the first ones in on October 18th.

8 But again if you want I can check with TLC, see if 9 they have an estimate of going forward how long people 10 will take.

Again, between the numbers we gave you on Monday and today, Judge, the number today is that 36 vehicles are licensed. So since Monday, I can't remember what number we gave you for that in the affirmation, that many cars have gotten the license.

16 THE COURT: One other question. Why not in light 17 of the arguments that were made here whether you agree, and 18 in this case I know you disagree as to what the law requires 19 specifically as to whether or not there's a CAPA process or 20 not, I heard all of the arguments as to that.

But what harm would there be, in that, I believe you both agree also that the respondent could on its own without going through the CAPA process set a number and say here's the number of licenses we're going to issue full stop. Why not do that?

49

Proceedings

1 In other words, set a deadline by which 2 applications will be received or set a number in light of 3 what you're getting thus far, why is that not an option, or 4 is it?

5 MS. SELVIN: Well, certainly it was an option, 6 Judge, because in March 2023, TLC just offered 1,000. They 7 decided at that point just to do a thousand. Based on the 8 current study, Judge, they feel like a cap of that level is 9 not required right now. They think they could open it up 10 based on the circumstances on the roads right now and the 11 results of their study.

12 So you know, and they did do, right, they did do a 13 little baby step, they did the thousand, and they saw the 14 tremendous amount of unmet demand. So to the extent that 15 they believe, based on their study that the City can sustain 16 some of these additional EV licenses, this is also a 17 wonderful opportunity for a lot of people to become their 18 own bosses.

19 So I don't think right now TLC sees a reason to be 20 capping that number at that level. Again having said that, 21 they will be studying it in February.

THE COURT: But here's the thing, why not -- if not a thousand because based on the study a thousand wasn't enough, so you double it, and you say 2,000 or 3,000, you come up with some number because could not TLC find itself

50

Proceedings

in another predicament, which is if the unlimited universe
of eligible applicants, interested applicants were to apply
and there is no cap and everyone who applies is eligible,
you then have by the time you've done the study, the study
may come back and say there's not now an oversaturation,
what do you do then? Hypothetical.

7 MS. SELVIN: No, I appreciate what you're saying,8 Judge.

9 I do think, though, obviously TLC keeps an eye on 10 roads in the meantime, even before their study. So 11 obviously I believe if they saw anything that was extremely 12 problematic, they could act before the study.

13 But keep in mind when they gave that limited amount 14 of 1,000 in March of 2023, they had to determine eligibility criteria, right? So they had to give priority -- they ended 15 16 up giving priority to certain people over other people with 17 the unlimited, everyone has an equal chance to apply. And that's the advantage of the unlimited opening right now, is 18 19 that anyone can apply. If you put a small cap on, they're going to have to decide who gets priority, because there is 20 21 demand.

THE COURT: But just the converse, you have no cap. It almost becomes -- and this happens in life, in other industries, I should say. If assume not everyone who applies is going to be eligible, so you kind of internally

51

Proceedings

calculate that if 500 apply only 100 will be eligible with trial, there's the presumption not everyone is going to ask for a trial, so let me put 200 cases on the calendar. Well, guess what, one of all 200 on your calendar decided they wanted a trial.

But what if all 500 who apply they find out they 6 7 are eligible and make the demand that they then want the 8 license because the response that TLC does have, which you both agree, the discretion, if you will, to raise a number, 9 10 set a number, lower a number, why not set a number, set a --11 you could set a number today and say that number is only 12 good for the next week, see what comes in. Then you can set 13 another number the following week, see what comes in. And 14 then come February you have a study and you can look at the 15 numbers and say either we need more or less, if you have 16 that discretion. So why not that option?

MS. SELVIN: Well, TLC needs a basis for imposing a cap, though, Judge, it needs a reason, or else that's the next lawsuit.

20 So you know, they have to have some -- if they are 21 going to limit the amount and they are going to limit the 22 eligibility, they have to have a basis for doing so or else, 23 again, that will be the next lawsuit from someone else.

24 THE COURT: Let me just interrupt you just right25 there. If not a cap because of the concerns, why not a

52

Proceedings

1 deadline? In other words, whoever applies by X date, then 2 that gives you some readiness --

MS. SELVIN: I would think that a deadline, Judge, a deadline thing would actually have to go through rulemaking. I could check with TLC. But again the Local Law makes it very clear that the issuance of the licenses you do not have to go through CAPA.

8 So to the extent that they're limiting, to the 9 extent that they are putting deadlines to present, extent 10 they're putting in a cap that does -- and TLC has done that 11 in the past, that would have to go through rulemaking and 12 whole rulemaking process, that involves 30 days, at least 30 13 days notice and promulgation, right? And then a public 14 hearing, and then after the hearing, most likely at least 30 days until you promulgate the rule. At this point we are 15 already up to the February 2024 study, Judge, even if they 16 17 did that tomorrow.

18 THE COURT: Anything further on this record? 19 MR. SOLEIMANY: If I may, Your Honor, I would just 20 say just to make sure that petitioners' position is coming 21 across clearly. I mean obviously it's our position that 22 this is a rule, that notwithstanding respondents' 23 interpretation of Local Law 147 requires CAPA rulemaking as 24 they had done both times in the past.

25 I think pursuant to 59A-06(a)(1), TLC still has to

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

Proceedings

follow its own rules. It still has to follow the mandate 1 2 from 59A-06(a)(1) that they pick a number. And you know as 3 to what that number is, the number at least has to be rational and the data has to support it. 4 5 And right, right now, you know, what they may or may not do in the future, right now I can say currently, 6 7 especially with the information about 2,500 new WAV's which 8 was not in the February 2023 report, especially with that 9 number, the data does not support an issuance of EV 10 licenses. But if it were to in any case they would have to 11 pick a number. 12 This notion to just say unlimited is a concept. Ιt 13 is not a number. They have not even complied with their own 14 rules in that respect. And that will be it for me please, Judge. Forgive 15 16 me. 17 THE COURT: Counsel, I have heard all of your respective arguments, and I have read them in short time and 18 19 that I received them just today for our argument, as to that 20 part of the application that is to enjoin the respondents 21 from accepting applications, that part is denied. 22 As to that part of the application enjoining the 23 respondents from processing the applications, that is the 24 applications that they have already received which is 1,746,

25 we can go ahead and process those applications.

53

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

Proceedings

You can continue to accept applications. And you can issue licenses for those 1,746, assuming that they meet all the other criteria, the inspections, if you will.

But as to going forward it remains unclear to me, 4 5 in that, the new study is not until February. So the question really becomes from this point going forward --6 7 because I understand, Mr. Soleimany, your application speaks 8 to even those who have already applied, your argument is in 9 essence... they should be a nullity, they should be denied 10 because it did not go through the CAPA process... if I heard you correctly. 11

12 But your other argument, if you will, is going 13 forward, which is why you're seeking a temporary restraining 14 order. But -- and you're seeking it on the basis that the law itself, meaning the TLC doesn't have the right to even 15 16 have accepted the ones that they have and they therefore 17 can't issue the licenses for the ones that they already have, from my read of the papers, I disagree with you on 18 19 that point.

20 What I do find telling, though, on this record is 21 that part where we started, which is while the argument is 22 that the TLC or respondents had this CAPA Green Rise 23 Initiative, and I'm going to describe it as a hearing, the 24 fact that it was on the same day, and from what I've heard 25 it did address some of the issues that are addressed here,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

55

Proceedings

1 it would lead one to believe that it was therefore required. 2 And we have the sanctity of one on your respective 3 papers writing the arguments now, but that is troublesome to 4 me, that that has been the practice in the past, at least up 5 until 2019, and that one believed that it was being done or 6 was addressed or should have been addressed, but that would 7 have been the same practice that would have been followed.

So I say all of that to say, as to that -- I don't 8 9 know if it's the first, but the remaining, I should say, 10 prong of your application still thinking about that, which 11 is going forward, can you continue to accept applications, 12 especially before February when there's the new study, which 13 you both agree a study has to happen, it's really 14 respondents will be enjoined from processing any new ones, that is going forward. 15

You can process the existing, you can do all of -you can continue to accept, because I do think even when it comes to February you're going to have to have some body of numbers, otherwise, you'll be in the same position over again to figure out what's the interest, is there a whole bunch of people on a waiting list, not on a waiting list. But those applications going forward cannot yet be

23 processed.

So I'm granting at least that part, Mr. Soleimany.
MS. SELVIN: Judge, can I just --

56

Proceedings

THE COURT: Yes. 1 2 MS. SELVIN: -- a practical concern just while we 3 have been on this call more applications have come in, so the numbers I gave you have probably, I'm guessing are 4 5 probably even out of date. Can we put a certain like a set time, whether it's 5 p.m. today or 5 p.m. tomorrow, where 6 7 they'll stop processing? Because I don't want to -- again, 8 I'm sure some have come in while we have been on this call. 9 THE COURT: I've heard you, and what I'm thinking 10 is because the application process itself, say, I set of 11 time of 5 p.m., but someone has already completed their application, done all of the application and now from what I 12 13 understood part of the application process required a 14 financial investment, if you will, by the applicant that's nonrefundable. 15 Did I hear that, Mr. Soleimany and Ms. Selvin, 16 17 correctly? 18 MS. SELVIN: I believe so. That's my general 19 understanding that even if people have not outright 20 purchased their vehicles, they put deposits down, because 21 they actually have to give the VIN number for the car, 22 Judge, when they're submitting their application. 23 So my general understanding is those could be non-refundable deposits. But if Mr. Soleimany knows 24 25 something different than me, you know, I welcome him

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

57

Proceedings

1 speaking to that.

2 MR. SOLEIMANY: Is Your Honor asking about the application fee paid to TLC, or other costs that potential 3 licensees might incur along the way of getting a vehicle? 4 5 THE COURT: My understanding, that the inquiry that's before the Court now is setting a time deadline, if 6 7 you will, because the order is going to be framed such that 8 your application for processing new applications I'm 9 granting and denying in part, there has to be a time frame, 10 otherwise, respondents can run afoul of the order because 11 they will continue processing and accepting past a certain 12 date and time. So I'm seeking to frame --

13 I lost Mr. -- hold on -- Soleimany. There you are, 14 you're back.

-- so in setting that time frame to say, for
instance, 5 o'clock today, but someone in anticipation of
applying has already gone out and paid the fee -- I don't
know if still requires a money order, I'm not sure how
that's done. So I don't have the fullness on this record as
to what the application process is.

But I heard that it requires some pre-financial investment by way of the vehicle and other fees, so I'm trying to get an understanding of fact in setting what would be a reasonable time that can be complied with.

25 Unmute yourself, Mr. Soleimany.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

1

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

58

Proceedings

MR. SOLEIMANY: Excuse me.

2 I think what Ms. Selvin and I have been saying is 3 that because there's a difference in the way that TLC did this process with the unlimited applications and the way 4 5 they did it back in March when they did a thousand. And forgive me if I'm getting the details wrong, but in March 6 7 there was a statement of interest. You did not have to have 8 a vehicle in order to get the go-ahead, the green light to go through the process back in March. You do have to have a 9 10 vehicle now under the current process.

11 So I would just say, you know, I can't speak to and 12 I'm not sure if TLC would know anything about anybody until 13 they have submitted an application to TLC, we may not have 14 that information.

15 I would just note as to the point Your Honor raises 16 about what input should we get about, you know, what 17 interest there is in order to gauge what the impact would be 18 until February, to me it would still be problematic to use 19 applications as they're currently formed, as the method of 20 collecting that data, because it would still rely on people 21 purchasing a vehicle to make that application and just to 22 get that data point.

It would be concerning to me if Your Honor is going to enjoin the further issuance of the licenses that TLC would still say, hey, it's open, you can still submit, but

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

Proceedings

you've got to buy a car first. I think, you know, we might disagree on a lot, but I think Ms. Selvin and I would probably agree on the equities that we would want to avoid a situation wherein there's that potential for confusion going forward after the 1,746 licensees.

6 THE COURT: Right, that's why we're having this 7 conversation now because that's a concern that I have as 8 well. How do you propose that become reconciled other than 9 just granting either of you what you want?

MS. SELVIN: Judge, if you give me two minutes, I'm checking with my clients. You know, I tend to agree with Mr. Soleimany about the concern about cutting them off at the processing part, instead of at the application part because they could take a financial hit, to be blunt. So let me, if you'll give me just a minute, I'm just checking with my client seeing if we're okay.

17 Obviously we oppose it all, Judge, but if where 18 you're going is instead of at the processing maybe at the 19 application stage that might just procedurally be easier.

Having said that, I do think we have to give people a little time, but I'll just forewarn everyone just because practically for TLC to stop accepting applications, there's going to be a gold rush, there's going to be a run then in the next, you know, hour or it's 24 hours where people are going to be running to get their applications in. So

59

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

60

Proceedings

1	everyone probably should just be ready for that.
2	But again if you can just give me a minute, Judge,
3	to consult with my clients. I just want to see practically
4	how they accept the applications, whether this makes sense.
5	MR. SOLEIMANY: Your Honor, while we are waiting
6	for Ms. Selvin, I'm not sure if you wanted to hear from
7	petitioners on this question as to the cutoff point.
8	THE COURT: I'm listening.
9	MR. SOLEIMANY: I think if the animating principle
10	here is, you know, in the interim avoiding harm to people
11	who have purchased a vehicle sort of based on these
12	representations by TLC that they can go forward. I'm open
13	to hear what Ms. Selvin has to say, but perhaps it could be
14	if, you know, somebody has proof of purchase by today and
15	then, you know, if TLC can properly inform licensees in the
16	public of the Court's decision to ensure that, you know,
17	that folks would have notice of that, I think that could be
18	a decent way to establish a cutoff.
19	But I apologize now that Ms. Selvin, I'm realizing,
20	I see her on the phone and she didn't hear what I said.
21	MS. SELVIN: Judge, thanks.
22	THE COURT: Ms. Selvin, go ahead.
23	But, Mr. Soleimany, also if you can repeat back.
24	If not, I have my very confident but becoming wary, we've
25	been on this record, our court reporter who can read back.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

61

Proceedings

1	We did not realize you had one ear to the phone,
2	maybe you did not hear what was said. Do you need
3	MS. SELVIN: I did not.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Madam Reporter or Mr. Soleimany,
5	let's have it read back.
6	Madam Reporter, can you read back what
7	Mr. Soleimany just said? It should be the entirety of his
8	statement. Thank you.
9	(Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back
10	by the court reporter.)
11	THE COURT: Ms. Selvin.
12	MS. SELVIN: Your Honor, I was briefly talking to
13	my clients while this was being proposed by Mr. Soleimany.
14	Just as a practical note, Judge, my clients do
15	agree it would be easier to do the cutoff at the accepting
16	of the applications versus the processing.
17	Technology-wise, though, Judge, they do have to do
18	stuff to their website which can take up to 24 hours. So
19	they said they can probably cut off the applications on the
20	TLC website by tomorrow at 4 p.m., so they wouldn't be
21	accepting applications after that.
22	Certainly they can use their bullhorn. I would
23	certainly think NYTWA can use its bullhorn to let people
24	know.
25	I think maybe coupled with the proposal by

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

62

Proceedings

Mr. Soleimany of people coming in with their receipt 1 basically showing that they put a down payment or they 2 3 purchased, I think that would be sufficient for my clients. But practically 4 p.m. tomorrow is probably the 4 5 earliest they can cut it off. THE COURT: I've heard you both as to the cutoff 6 7 time 4 p.m. would be less than 24 hours from now because 8 it's 4:27. 9 Before I make a ruling as to that, I also want to 10 know, Ms. Selvin, are there any veterans as part of this 11 process or is there a separate process for veterans? 12 MS. SELVIN: Judge, I believe these EV licenses 13 were open to everyone, including veterans. I don't believe 14 there was a carve out for veterans. 15 THE COURT: I am mindful of -- Mr. Soleimany, you could address this if it's contrary to this Court's 16 17 understanding. But as to veterans, a number of them may be 18 seeking such licenses or already have such licenses, and I 19 don't know if there's a cutout for them. And it being 20 Veterans Day on Friday, I am mindful of that, so the window 21 of time would be greater than 24 hours. And in light of, 1, 22 there having to be notice given, 2, technology being 23 implemented, the period will be extended to Friday. 24 Mr. Soleimany, was there something you wanted to 25 say?

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

63

Proceedings

1	ND COLETNING, The communication the could
1	MR. SOLEIMANY: I'm sorry, you know, the audio
2	broke up there for a second, Your Honor.
3	I'm not sure if I heard I don't think I heard
4	all of the last sentence.
5	THE COURT: Tell me what you heard last.
6	MR. SOLEIMANY: Extending till Friday would be the
7	cutoff.
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MR. SOLEIMANY: And can I ask a clarifying question
10	about actions. Are you asking whether TLC policy makes
11	special provisions for U.S. military veterans; is that
12	correct?
13	THE COURT: That's what I was asking.
14	MR. SOLEIMANY: My understanding, no, it does not.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. SELVIN: Your Honor, I confirmed that there's
17	no carve out for veterans. As a practical note, though,
18	Judge, TLC is closed on Friday.
19	THE COURT: Oh.
20	MS. SELVIN: Right, it's a holiday. Our office is
21	closed too. I don't know if you're closed too. So just
22	practically they could be accepting applications through
23	Friday. So it would either have to be Thursday or Monday.
24	THE COURT: Monday.
25	Does anyone wish to be heard first before I make a

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

64

Proceedings

ruling as to Monday? 1 2 MR. SOLEIMANY: I would be concerned about Monday 3 cutoff, Your Honor. I think allowing the entire weekend would lead to the type of gold rush situation that Ms. 4 5 Selvin said there would be concerns about. I think that --I don't think that's a short enough period. 6 I mean, if we are -- if there would be an 7 8 application -- if the concern is when the software remained open to submit an application, as opposed to buying a car, I 9 10 think if the cutoff for purchase would still be the time 11 that we are talking about, you know, and it's -- but then, 12 but then the application would be -- that would be a 13 different, that would be a different story. 14 But if the purchase cutoff is extended through the weekend and TLC announces it to everybody, I absolutely 15 16 anticipate a gold rush that would, you know, overshadow a 17 lot of the purpose of the injunction. 18 THE COURT: Okay, maybe I missed something. I 19 thought that in order to apply you had to have had -- you had to have purchased the vehicle. So you would apply with 20 21 your proof. So if your application cutoff is Monday, you 22 would have -- you would have had your proof already. So unless I'm missing something, it wouldn't be a 23 24 rush for people to now go and try to buy a vehicle. 25 MR. SOLEIMANY: My understanding is that right now

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

65

Proceedings

1 if TLC announces tomorrow morning that the application 2 cutoff would be Monday at 5 o'clock, people who have not yet bought a vehicle could go out and purchase those vehicles 3 and then apply on Monday. And unless there's a -- unless we 4 5 set a purchase cutoff date, so that we really tailor this to 6 preventing harm to people who have already bought, you know, 7 allowing purchases over the weekend would really cancel out 8 a lot of the effects of the injunction.

9 THE COURT: So it would be the purchase cutoff date 10 of tomorrow, but the application date of Monday, is that 11 plausible?

MS. SELVIN: Judge, I just checked with my clients, that would be practically hard for us. That would be them having to pull out applications to look at the purchase dates of the vehicles. It would be burdensome. It would be helpful to have them aligned.

MR. SOLEIMANY: You know, my sense, Your Honor, and Karen, correct me if you think this is wrong, is that if there were, say, a 5 p.m. or you know any time on Thursday cutoff, I anticipate this news would move rather quickly. MS. SELVIN: I would think so. I would think that

22 the --

23 MR. SOLEIMANY: The whole --

24 MS. SELVIN: -- whole organization and word is 25 going to get out pretty quickly. I know obviously again the

66

Proceedings

1	press is following this case, not courtesy of us, Judge, the
2	petitioners. I think word is going to get out, so I think
3	there's probably even going to be a gold rush tomorrow,
4	tonight and tomorrow where people are going to run out
5	because it's being cutoff.
6	That was part of the charm of the unlimited, Judge,
7	is that you didn't have the massive wait list we thought
8	like you did with the thousand a few months ago.
9	So I think either way, to be blunt even, I think
10	there's going to be a gold rush whether it's till tomorrow
11	or to Monday.
12	Practically, would it be easier now to do it for
13	Monday, because obviously time is dragging out today and
14	THE COURT: And Friday is a holiday.
15	MS. SELVIN: And Friday is a holiday so
16	THE COURT: Can you accept on a Friday?
17	MS. SELVIN: I think probably I could check on
18	this, Judge. But if it's on the web I think people can
19	submit. But it's not going to be looked at or processed
20	because people aren't working. It'll probably be accepted.
21	MR. SOLEIMANY: I think that would be wouldn't
22	have a problem.
23	MS. SELVIN: Turn off, yeah, website, but there
24	would be no one looking at it on Friday and Saturday or
25	Sunday obviously.

67

Proceedings

1 MR. SOLEIMANY: Really just our hope would be to 2 avoid a situation where people hearing of this feel that 3 they have a new opportunity where I think what Ms. Selvin is 4 proposing about Friday would probably be all right.

5 THE COURT: But for court purposes I'm not going to 6 issue an order with a holiday deadline. It is a holiday. 7 So rather people -- I don't know what their means of access 8 is, but that means of access, whether it's accessing a 9 library for purposes of uploading their application it's now 10 closed. So I'm giving you time, but not time.

These are just practical considerations that I'm taking into account is giving people adequate notice. And if there is a cutoff time, make it a real cutoff time that someone can reasonably comply with in light of the fact that it is a holiday.

So with that, I am more inclined at 4:35 p.m. on the Wednesday of a holiday on Friday with counsel once closing this record having other commitments to do, including submitting a proposed order, so that you have it, looking more toward a Monday, rather than a Thursday or Friday date.

Unless someone has some compelling reason to the contrary, I'm thinking Monday gives sufficient notice, which you all said will go out for those who have notice and now want to apply, it gives them that Friday -- I mean tomorrow

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

68

Proceedings

1	to get it in, because Friday is the holiday and limited
2	access, with Monday I could even give you an earlier time
3	then on Monday in consideration, Mr. Soleimany, of your
4	concerns it could be at 1 p.m. on Monday, you know, I can do
5	that as well by way of equities, if you will.
6	Is that something?
7	MR. SOLEIMANY: I think, I mean I think that in
8	light of your concerns, Your Honor, I think that could work.
9	I do just want to clarify our position is that the
10	application deadline is less important than what sort of
11	purchase cutoff. Are we proposing that it would be a
12	unified deadline?
13	Because application deadline if it's Monday or
14	Thursday is not so important. But are we saying that as of
15	an order coming from this Court, you know, what will the
16	purchase deadline policy be?
17	THE COURT: From what I've gathered that imposes
18	more of a challenge. So it's your application, period,
19	because the application requires that you have a vehicle.
20	So if you haven't purchased one by Monday or the time of the
21	deadline, then you're not applying, because you haven't
22	purchased the vehicle.
23	If you go out on Saturday and purchase a vehicle
24	and submit on Monday, then you can be considered.
25	MR. SOLEIMANY: Right. I mean I don't know if Ms.

69

Proceedings

Selvin has a position on this, but I think the extra step, 1 2 it creates an extra step and somebody has to review that 3 document. I don't know if that's particularly burdensome, but I think that that balances against the potential for a 4 5 three-day weekend for creating sort of a gold rush situation, I think balancing those two issues I think having 6 7 TLC just review the date of the purchase contract would be a 8 fair requirement.

9 MS. SELVIN: I think that is too burdensome, Judge. 10 And also just as a practical note for the people 11 who are applying, I think giving them the one deadline as 12 you know this is when your application is due, they know 13 they have to have a VIN number associated with the 14 application to even by the time they get in their 15 application, they'll know that's my deadline to purchase the 16 vehicle.

17 So I did check with my clients, Judge, they could 18 do an earlier time on Monday, if that's the middle ground 19 for you of 1 p.m.

THE COURT: I can even do earlier, 9 a.m., 9 a.m. Monday. It's electronic. It cuts off anything after 9 a.m., that way it addresses the whole weekend concerns. All of that's addressed. You have to have it in by 9 a.m., that way again Friday is a holiday, unless someone is going out on a Saturday or a Sunday, the window time -- I'm not --

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

70

Proceedings

1	from my lens the anticipated purchase, vehicle purchase I
2	don't see. But this is your field and you know,
3	Mr. Soleimany, Ms. Selvin, what's expected.
4	But if the application requires that you have a
5	vehicle and the application deadline is Monday at 9 a.m., I
6	don't anticipate what you all have described as this gold
7	rush to now purchase a vehicle.
8	But we will know that on Monday at 9 a.m.
9	Go ahead, Counsel.
10	MS. SELVIN: My clients said they could do 9 a.m.
11	on Monday.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, do you wish, in light
13	of the order I can have you all back here, and you can tell
14	me what the numbers are for 9 a.m. on Monday, either it's
15	materialized and there's this gold rush and now you have to
16	be heard further or the numbers weren't, so I can do that as
17	well.
18	MS. SELVIN: Judge, if it would be easier I can get
19	the updated numbers probably a little after 9 a.m. on
20	Monday, and I can send an email to the Court as well as
21	copying counsel with the latest numbers so everyone can see
22	what happened over the weekend.
23	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Soleimany?
24	MR. SOLEIMANY: I mean I think that makes sense.
25	So just to clarify where we're headed from here, in terms of

13

71

Proceedings

an order, would you be anticipating that counsel jointly
prepare something with the Court's perimeters or how would
you like us to proceed?

THE COURT: You said it right there, that would be perfect, which is that -- and that way I'll make sure we are all on one accord as to what the deadline is, 9 a.m. on Monday the 13th. And I can hear counsel as early as I have Tuesday -- I don't know what it takes by way of your processing, but I have Tuesday or Wednesday, 14th or 15th, and then you can be heard further if necessary.

MS. SELVIN: Well, Judge, we'll need a set forthalso a briefing schedule on the underlying petition.

THE COURT: That's why the 14th or the 15th.

MS. SELVIN: Should we work that out maybe now while we are on the call?

16 THE COURT: Counsel, you can work that out as to 17 the briefing schedule, as long as for your argument, any 18 further argument I can hear you on the 14th or the 15th.

Counsel, yes, you are going to need to pick your time now before me because I'm starting I think a trial now on Monday. I'm not sure it's a jury trial so --

MS. SELVIN: Judge, just to clarify, is that an argument on the preliminary injunction or -- because you're going to issue a limited TRO today, it sounds like.

25 THE COURT: Yes.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

72

Proceedings

1	MS. SELVIN: So would this be if people want
2	additional argument on the PI and then we'll work out a
3	briefing schedule on the underlying merits?
4	THE COURT: Two things. One, the order for today
5	based on the numbers that you receive Monday at 9 a.m., you
6	are reserving, preserving your right to be heard.
7	MS. SELVIN: Okay.
8	THE COURT: So if you wish to be heard further.
9	Mr. Soleimany may say this is exactly what I was
10	anticipating, the 50,000 applicants. Who knows? Ms. Selvin
11	may say, see I told you.
12	I'm preserving your right to be heard further as to
13	that point based on the numbers, that's why we set the time
14	frame, okay.
15	You're also setting a briefing schedule for the
16	underlying I didn't see anything other than the order to
17	show cause. You have the underlying petition itself, but I
18	didn't see anything else.
19	MR. SOLEIMANY: That's correct. There was an order
20	to show cause, there was an application for a TRO and
21	preliminary injunction.
22	THE COURT: Right. I didn't see a briefing
23	schedule for anything else, Ms. Selvin.
24	MS. SELVIN: No, but they did file a petition,
25	correct? So the City would be responding to the underlying

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

73

Proceedings

1	petition on the final merits of the case, Judge. So I
2	presume you would want a verified answer from us, and a memo
3	of law and all that, yeah?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	MS. SELVIN: Yeah, so that's what I'm referring to
6	for the briefing schedule.
7	THE COURT: Right, that's between you and counsel.
8	You don't need to be heard on that, unless there's some
9	other
10	MS. SELVIN: Unless you want argument on when
11	that's fully submitted, Judge. Obviously to the extent
12	there is at least a limited TRO imposed, we will probably be
13	looking for an expedited schedule. So I can work that out
14	with Mr. Soleimany.
15	THE COURT: You all do that as to the dates. But
16	as to if you wish to be heard further as to what transpires
17	by Monday, I can hear you as early as Tuesday or Wednesday.
18	And I may probably have Thursday also.
19	MR. SOLEIMANY: Please forgive me if I'm missing
20	something, Your Honor. But just in terms of being heard on
21	whatever developments happen with the licensing, is this
22	within the context of a continuation of the TRO or is this
23	in the context of a preliminary injunction?
24	THE COURT: Both.
25	MR. SOLEIMANY: Okay. And we are not establishing

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 01/16/2024 10:55 AM INDEX NO. 160795/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

Proceedings

1	a briefing schedule for a preliminary injunction or do you
2	wish us to do that as well?
3	THE COURT: That can be done with counsel.
4	MR. SOLEIMANY: All right.
5	THE COURT: Just put the answer all of that.
6	MS. SELVIN: I anticipate we could probably do it
7	consolidated. If we get an expedited schedule, we'll
8	address the PI motion in our underlying papers. Instead of
9	doing another two sets of papers, we'll probably just do one
10	consolidated. But we can discuss that obviously.
11	MR. SOLEIMANY: Yeah, we can talk.
12	MS. SELVIN: Offline.
13	THE COURT: So if counsel wishes to be heard
14	further, you have Tuesday or Wednesday. If not, you may be
15	so exhausted from today you don't want to see me again,
16	that's a possibility as well. But let me know, because my
17	time will go once I get on trial.
18	MR. SOLEIMANY: And when would you presumably
19	we'd want would you like us to reserve a time now?
20	MS. SELVIN: That would be my suggestion, since
21	assuming that we should pick a time on Tuesday, and then if
22	we don't need it, we will let the Court know.
23	MR. SOLEIMANY: That sounds great.
24	MS. SELVIN: And obviously on Monday I can send the
25	email. Judge, you'll just have to let me know where you

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

75

Proceedings

want me to send that email with the new numbers. I have 1 2 your law secretary's email address, but if you want it sent 3 elsewhere --THE COURT: Yes, send it directly to my court 4 5 attorney, Ms. BB Liu. 6 And, Counsel, you can indicate whether or not you 7 need your time or don't need your time. But I'll reserve it 8 if you want it. If not, it's vacated. 9 You want 11 o'clock on Tuesday, 11 o'clock on 10 Wednesday? 11 MS. SELVIN: 11 o'clock on Tuesday is fine for me, 12 Your Honor. 13 MR. SOLEIMANY: 11 o'clock on Tuesday sounds great, 14 yeah. 15 THE COURT: Okay. If you don't need it, when you 16 send your letter with the numbers, you can say you and --17 and make sure you share it with Mr. Soleimany. You may say the Tuesday date you no longer need, or if you wish to be 18 19 heard further, I will hear you. Okay. MS. SELVIN: Judge, would that be a Teams 20 21 appearance again or in person on Tuesday? 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MS. SELVIN: Teams, okay. THE COURT: And, Counsel, I will tell you, this is 24 25 all subject to -- if my trial -- I'm blocking the time off,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

76

Proceedings

so you won't have the luxury of the time that you would have 1 2 had today if I'm on trial. 3 All right, you can get the proposed order to me. Ordinarily I prepare them all myself. But given the hour 4 5 and counsel is volunteering I will receive it meaning by way of my court attorney, and I will have it to you if you get 6 7 it to me before my court attorney leaves for today, it will 8 be tonight. But I'll forward it late at night as well. So 9 as soon as you get it to me, I will make sure and review it. 10 Okay. 11 MS. SELVIN: Okay, Judge, thank you. 12 THE COURT: The record closed, Ms. Selvin, anything 13 further? 14 MS. SELVIN: Nothing further, Your Honor, thank 15 you. 16 THE COURT: The record closed on your behalf, 17 Mr. Soleimany, or is there something further? 18 MR. SOLEIMANY: Just before we get it to you, would 19 it be possible to take a moment to confirm the perimeters or 20 the order that you want us to fill in the details of, just 21 so we don't submit anything that doesn't comply with the basic outline that you would like? 22 23 THE COURT: Well, that's why I have the two of you 24 doing it on consent. 25 MR. SOLEIMANY: That works too.

FILED:	NEW	YORK	COUNTY	CLERK	01/16/	/2024	10:55	AM
--------	-----	------	--------	-------	--------	-------	-------	----

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

77

Proceedings

1 THE COURT: Consistent with what was stated on the 2 record, Ms. Maria Rivera is the court reporter, stenographer 3 who has been taking down everything today. If you need her contact. 4 5 Ms. Rivera, do you mind saying your contact because your fingers are typing and doing all those great things, 6 7 what is your contact? 8 (Whereupon, there is a discussion held off the 9 record.) THE COURT: I'll await the proposed order. Thank 10 11 you all. * * * * * 12 13 Certified to be a true and accurate transcript of 14 the stenographic minutes taken within. 15 MARIA E RIVERA 16 Maria E. Rivera 17 Senior Court Reporter 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

1

\$	18th [4] - 8:8, 11:2,	46 [1] - 27:2	55:11, 55:17, 60:4,
φ	44:15, 48:7	4:27 [1] - 62:8	66:16
\$4,000 [1] - 31:17	19-550 [2] - 14:15	4:35 [1] - 67:16	acceptance [1] - 19:10
۲	2	5	accepted [4] - 18:18, 28:8, 54:16, 66:20
'12 [1] - 6:6	2 [2] - 16:10, 62:22	5 [7] - 46:8, 56:6,	accepting [11] -
'23 [1] - 33:22	2,000 [1] - 49:24	56:11, 57:16, 65:2,	6:21, 7:9, 18:12,
	2,500 [4] - 30:12,	65:19	18:16, 19:9, 53:21,
1	30:14, 40:10, 53:7	50,000 [1] - 72:10	57:11, 59:22, 61:15,
1	2,586 [1] - 26:15	500 [2] - 51:1, 51:6	61:21, 63:22
1 [8] - 10:23, 11:12, 14:6, 14:19, 15:22,	20,000 [1] - 37:7	58 [1] - 46:8	access [3] - 67:7,
62:21, 68:4, 69:19	200 [2] - 51:3, 51:4	59A-06 [2] - 17:21, 33:12	67:8, 68:2 accessible [9] - 13:4,
1(a [2] - 14:7, 14:9	2010 [2] - 6:2, 6:6 2011 [2] - 6:2	59A-06(a)(1 [5] -	13:7, 13:10, 20:20,
1(e [1] - 14:8	2012 [1] - 6:3	17:7, 17:12, 45:14,	26:10, 26:13, 26:15,
1,000 [5] - 17:7,	2014 [1] - 30:23	52:25, 53:2	30:12, 34:2
21:23, 41:18, 49:6,	2018 [6] - 10:22,	59A-06(a)(1) [1] -	accessing [1] - 67:8
50:14	14:2, 14:3, 30:23,	15:1	accomplishes [1] -
1,645 [3] - 26:2,	36:23, 38:14	59A-06(a)(2 [1] - 10:2	14:7
27:18, 41:1	2019 [7] - 14:24,		accord [1] - 71:6
1,700 [2] - 30:16,	15:7, 15:9, 16:17,	6	account [2] - 13:12,
31:14 1,746 [7] - 26:2,	33:20, 47:10, 55:5	62 [1] - 1:2	67:12
29:17, 41:19, 42:10,	2021 [3] - 15:10,	02 [1] - 1.2	accurate [1] - 77:13 acknowledged [1] -
53:24, 54:2, 59:5	33:5, 35:25 2022 [6] - 18:2,	7	39:2
1-A [1] - 20:16	21:22, 33:24, 34:7,		acronyms[1] - 7:1
1-E [1] - 45:13	35:24, 47:12	7,000 [1] - 31:15	act [1] - 50:12
10,000 [4] - 17:19,	2023 [16] - 1:12,	78 [3] - 1:6, 30:7,	Act [3] - 8:17, 8:20,
36:17, 36:20, 37:9	10:14, 12:8, 18:2,	31:20	21:12
100 [5] - 1:20, 3:23,	23:14, 35:19, 36:3,		acting [2] - 33:24,
9:1, 13:9, 51:1	36:15, 37:3, 37:5,	8	47:13
100,000 [4] - 17:19,	40:3, 43:13, 44:15,	8 [1] - 1:12	action [5] - 14:19,
17:25, 30:17, 36:17 10004 [1] - 2:5	49:6, 50:14, 53:8	80,000 [2] - 31:18,	19:3, 21:13, 31:21
10007 [2] - 1:21, 3:24	2024 [3] - 44:9, 44:19, 52:16	31:24	actions [1] - 63:10
101 [1] - 29:16	2030 [3] - 9:2, 9:8,	85 [1] - 30:23	active [1] - 33:17 activity [1] - 37:20
1041 [1] - 16:19	13:8		Ad [2] - 14:15, 45:8
11 [6] - 30:12, 30:14,	22nd [1] - 2:5	9	add [1] - 34:2
75:9, 75:11, 75:13	24 [4] - 59:24, 61:18,	0	adding [3] - 23:1,
11101 [2] - 1:17, 3:17	62:7, 62:21	9 [14] - 27:2, 46:9, 46:11, 69:20, 69:21,	33:2, 33:6
12 [1] - 44:17	28 [1] - 26:5	40.11, 09.20, 09.21, 69:23, 70:5, 70:8,	additional [10] - 4:4,
12-month [8] - 14:5,		70:10, 70:14, 70:19,	10:16, 10:24, 11:14,
14:9, 14:12, 20:17,	3	71:6, 72:5	11:15, 12:23, 13:13,
20:19, 20:23, 44:1, 45:8	3 [1] - 15:25	90 [2] - 23:7, 29:15	34:17, 49:16, 72:2
13.8 [1] - 46:12	3,000 [1] - 49:24	99 [1] - 26:15	address [11] - 3:10,
13th [1] - 71:7	30 [3] - 52:12, 52:14		3:15, 4:8, 10:10, 20:10, 35:9, 38:24,
14 [1] - 31:17	300 [2] - 1:17, 3:16	Α	54:25, 62:16, 74:8,
147 [10] - 10:22,	31-10 [2] - 1:17, 3:16	e m ((4) - 60-20	75:2
13:22, 14:2, 14:13,	33 [1] - 2:5	a.m [11] - 69:20, 69:22, 69:23, 70:5,	addressed [4] -
14:15, 14:19, 17:11,	36 [2] - 26:4, 48:12	70:8, 70:10, 70:14,	54:25, 55:6, 69:23
31:6, 45:6, 52:23	37th [2] - 1:17, 3:16	70:19, 71:6, 72:5	addresses [2] -
147(1)(a [1] - 45:20		able [4] - 5:16, 25:12,	20:17, 69:22
14th [3] - 71:9,	4	26:20, 47:24	adequate [1] - 67:12
71:13, 71:18	4 [3] - 61:20, 62:4,	absence [1] - 42:7	adjusting [1] - 46:10
15th [3] - 71:9, 71:13, 71:18	4 [3] - 01.20, 02.4, 62:7	absolutely [2] - 16:6,	administrative [1] -
160795/2023 [1] - 1:4	40 [1] - 30:25	64:15	14:23 Administrative [4] -
18-month [1] - 31:1	45 [1] - 21:11	accept [5] - 54:1,	6:3, 8:17, 8:19, 21:12
,			,, ., ., ., ., .,

adopt [1] - 11:13 adopted [1] - 30:5 advance [1] - 19:19 advantage [1] -50:18 adverse [3] - 22:10, 39:16, 43:11 adversely [1] - 40:13 advocates [1] - 31:3 affects [1] - 31:23 affidavit [2] - 9:24, 32:23 affirmation [8] -10:11, 10:20, 10:21, 22:1, 22:13, 22:16, 27:2, 48:14 afoul [1] - 57:10 afternoon [8] - 3:1, 3:2, 3:13, 3:18, 3:20, 3:25, 4:18, 4:23 agency [2] - 39:10, 39:11 ago [3] - 6:2, 32:25, 66:8 agree [9] - 11:17, 38:21, 48:17, 48:22, 51:9, 55:13, 59:3, 59:11, 61:15 agreements [1] -22:25 ahead [12] - 8:11, 8:21, 12:6, 13:25, 24:22, 29:23, 29:25, 45:4, 53:25, 58:8, 60:22, 70:9 al [1] - 3:7 aligned [1] - 65:16 alleging [1] - 22:15 alleviate [1] - 38:13 ALLIANCE [2] - 1:4, 1:16 Alliance [3] - 3:5, 3:15, 32:3 Allison [2] - 4:5, 4:7 ALLISON [1] - 1:18 allow [1] - 32:11 allowed [1] - 17:8 allowing [2] - 64:3, 65:7 almost [2] - 36:5, 50:23 ALSO [1] - 2:3 altering [1] - 25:21 Amanda [1] - 5:11 AMANDA[1] - 2:8 **AMARA**[1] - 1:4 amount [8] - 21:23, 22:4, 23:2, 37:4, 38:9, 49:14, 50:13, 51:21 analysis [2] - 17:14,

COUNTY CLERK 01/16/2024 FILED: NEW YORK 10:55 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

```
INDEX NO. 160795/2023
```

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

2

18:1 AND [1] - 1:8 animating [2] - 31:8, 60:9 annex [1] - 10:13 annexed [1] - 10:7 announced [2] -9:12, 30:8 announcement [2] -9:15, 44:16 announces [2] -64:15, 65:1 annual [2] - 44:6, 44:8 annually [1] - 39:12 54.8 answer [3] - 28:1, 73:2, 74:5 answered [2] - 4:22, 41:13 anticipate [5] - 42:5, 64:16, 65:20, 70:6, 74:6 anticipated [2] -4:22, 70:1 anticipating [3] -40:1, 71:1, 72:10 69:11 anticipation [2] -25:6, 57:16 48:2 anyways [1] - 46:19 apologize [1] - 60:19 app [1] - 46:18 appearance [3] -35:20 3:10, 4:12, 75:21 appearances [1] -47:21 3:9 appearing [2] - 3:14, 3:22 applicable [2] -16:24, 34:23 24:12 applicant [2] - 22:3, 56:14 applicants [7] - 23:8, 27:19, 41:19, 42:14, 50:2, 72:10 application [41] -7:13, 11:5, 18:11, 19:21, 25:2, 28:8, 41:3, 41:14, 53:20, 53:22, 54:7, 55:10, 56:10, 56:12, 56:13, 56:22, 57:3, 57:8, 57:20, 58:13, 58:21, 59:13, 59:19, 64:8, 64:9, 64:12, 64:21, 73:10 65:1, 65:10, 67:9, 68:10, 68:13, 68:18, 68:19, 69:12, 69:14, 69:15, 70:4, 70:5, 72:20 applications [39] -

6:21, 7:9, 7:10, 18:12, 18:16, 19:9, 19:11, 22:19, 25:12, 26:2, 27:5, 29:14, 29:16, 30:17, 37:1, 41:1, 41:23, 42:5, 48:5, 49:2, 53:21, 53:23, 53:24, 53:25, 54:1, 55:11, 55:22, 56:3, 57:8, 58:4, 58:19, 59:22, 59:25, 60:4, 61:16, 61:19, 61:21, 63:22, 65:14 applied [5] - 16:20, 27:18, 42:15, 47:21, applies [4] - 47:17, 50:3, 50:25, 52:1 apply [16] - 19:14, 19:17, 29:4, 34:23, 41:4, 42:10, 42:17, 50:2, 50:17, 50:19, 51:1, 51:6, 64:19, 64:20, 65:4, 67:25 applying [5] - 24:25, 47:19, 57:17, 68:21, appointment [1] appreciate [3] - 30:1, 40:9, 50:7 appropriate [1] approved [2] - 37:1, arbitrary [1] - 40:4 areas [2] - 21:6, 47:4 arena [1] - 21:19 argue [2] - 24:7, argued [1] - 44:25 argues [1] - 20:6 arguing [1] - 15:24 Argument [1] - 1:12 argument [28] -15:22, 18:12, 19:25, 20:11, 23:21, 23:23, 23:25, 24:6, 24:7, 24:12, 24:15, 24:17, 24:20, 25:7, 26:9, 28:12, 38:18, 40:24, 42:13, 53:19, 54:8, 54:12, 54:21, 71:17, 71:18, 71:23, 72:2, arguments [7] - 7:2, 27:7, 35:17, 48:17, 48:20, 53:18, 55:3 artful [1] - 12:3 Article [3] - 1:6, 30:7, becoming [2] - 29:9,

31:20 AS [1] - 1:8 aside [1] - 21:1 assessing [1] - 43:6 assistance [1] -30:25 Assistant [1] - 4:19 associated [2] -21:24, 69:13 assume [1] - 50:24 assuming [3] -42:18, 54:2, 74:21 attaching [1] - 25:1 attention [1] - 5:6 attorney [4] - 4:21, 75:5, 76:6, 76:7 audio [2] - 5:20, 63:1 August [1] - 43:16 authority [2] - 20:21, 39:11 availability [1] - 21:5 available [2] - 13:7, 15:11 Avenue [2] - 1:17, 3:16 avoid [2] - 59:3, 67:2 avoiding [1] - 60:10 await [1] - 77:10 aware [2] - 37:22, 42:8 В baby [1] - 49:13 balance [4] - 24:15, 25:7, 39:21, 39:23 balances [1] - 69:4 balancing [2] -34:24, 69:6 ball [1] - 42:9 based [8] - 35:24, 49:7, 49:10, 49:15, 49:23, 60:11, 72:5, 72.13 baseline [1] - 46:8 basic [2] - 35:22, 76.22 basis [7] - 7:16, 15:3, 24:12, 34:7, 51:17, 51:22, 54:14 BB [1] - 75:5 bears [2] - 30:11, 44.14Beaver [1] - 2:5

become [5] - 9:7, 22:23, 25:18, 49:17, 59:8 becomes [3] - 4:11, 50:23. 54:6

51:4

begins [1] - 19:10 behalf [5] - 3:19, 3:22, 4:2, 4:3, 76:16 believes [2] - 29:1, 39.14 benchmarks [3] -9:2, 12:25, 13:14 benefit [1] - 34:4 BETH [1] - 1:21 better [2] - 18:20, 33:7 between [3] - 9:3, 48:11, 73:7 beyond [2] - 9:17, 32:20 bill [1] - 14:3 blocking [1] - 75:25 blow [1] - 32:11 blunt [2] - 59:14, 66:9 **body** [1] - 55:18 borough [1] - 36:12 bosses [1] - 49:18 bottom [1] - 40:10 bought [2] - 65:3, 65[.]6 breath [1] - 26:12 briefing [7] - 71:12, 71:17, 72:3, 72:15, 72:22, 73:6, 74:1 briefly [1] - 61:12 broad [1] - 17:2 broke [1] - 63:2 buffer [1] - 46:7 bulk [2] - 29:14, 47:5 bullhorn [2] - 61:22, 61:23 bunch [1] - 55:21 burdensome [3] -65:15, 69:3, 69:9 business [1] - 38:10 **buy** [4] - 19:16, 26:21. 59:1. 64:24 buying [4] - 19:20, 19:22, 31:14, 64:9 BY [6] - 1:18, 1:18, 1:21, 1:22, 1:22, 2:6

60:24

begin [1] - 19:20

С

cabs [1] - 32:6 calculate [1] - 51:1 calculation [2] -41:21, 41:23 calendar [2] - 51:3, camera [1] - 5:1 Camry [1] - 32:7

cancel [1] - 65:7 cannot [6] - 15:25, 17:3, 31:20, 31:21, 55:22 cap [14] - 15:25, 20:7, 24:5, 26:10, 32:11, 41:3, 45:11, 49:8, 50:3, 50:19, 50:22, 51:18, 51:25, 52:10 **CAPA**[31] - 8:11, 8:16, 8:17, 8:18, 8:19, 9:4, 9:10, 9:16, 11:13, 11:21, 15:14, 15:19, 15:23, 16:11, 16:17, 16:19, 17:4, 19:3, 20:8, 30:5, 32:17, 33:16, 35:8, 35:11, 48:19, 48:23, 52:7, 52:23, 54:10, 54:22 capping [1] - 49:20 capricious [1] - 40:5 car [8] - 16:23, 19:15, 23:5, 23:6, 48:2, 56:21, 59:1, 64:9 carbon [2] - 33:1, 33:7 care [1] - 45:8 cars [21] - 31:14, 31:24, 32:19, 33:2, 33:6, 33:19, 34:2, 34:8, 34:9, 34:10, 34:12, 34:16, 34:17, 34:19, 38:5, 38:9, 40:8, 47:1, 47:2, 47:4, 48:14 carve [2] - 62:14, 63:17 case [12] - 4:6, 4:17, 6:8, 13:18, 17:3, 20:6, 24:4, 39:14, 48:18, 53:10, 66:1, 73:1 cases [2] - 19:2, 51:3 categories [1] -43:11 causes [1] - 33:7 causing [1] - 41:23 central [1] - 38:10 certain [5] - 9:2, 47:4, 50:16, 56:5, 57:11 certainly [3] - 49:5, 61:22, 61:23 Certified [1] - 77:13 Chair [1] - 3:6 CHAIR [1] - 1:8 challenge [3] - 30:7, 40:16, 68:18

challenged [1] - 30:3

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

3

challenging [8] - 9:9, 9:20, 9:21, 26:11, 26:12, 26:19, 40:14 chance [1] - 50:17 change [3] - 43:25, 46:5, 46:17 changed [5] - 33:22, 33:23, 36:2, 43:24, 47:12 changing [1] - 23:20 Chapter [1] - 21:11 charge [3] - 14:10, 46:25, 47:6 charges [3] - 32:3, 32:5, 32:6 Charles [1] - 32:23 charm [1] - 66:6 Charter [2] - 16:19, 21:11 check [7] - 17:13, 29:7, 43:21, 48:8, 52:5, 66:17, 69:17 checked [1] - 65:12 checking [2] - 59:11, 59:15 choice [1] - 15:3 choosing [1] - 45:10 CHOW [1] - 1:4 Church [2] - 1:20, 3:23 circling [1] - 38:6 circumstances [2] -43:8, 49:10 CITY [5] - 1:9, 1:9, 1:10, 1:20, 2:4 City [25] - 1:17, 3:6, 3:16, 3:21, 3:23, 5:10, 8:17, 8:19, 11:11, 13:11, 14:10, 20:23, 21:6, 21:11, 21:15, 23:22, 25:12, 31:5, 31:22, 34:25, 39:10, 47:25, 49:15, 72:25 CIVIL [1] - 1:2 Civil [1] - 1:6 clarify [3] - 68:9, 70:25, 71:22 clarifying [1] - 63:9 clarity [1] - 45:5 class [1] - 16:15 clear [6] - 16:13, 20:15, 21:15, 26:10, 41:12, 52:6 clearly [3] - 12:21, 40:4. 52:21 client [1] - 59:16 clients [8] - 59:11, 60:3, 61:13, 61:14, 62:3, 65:12, 69:17, 70:10 9:16

climate [2] - 38:18, 38.19 close [4] - 22:8, 36:3, 42:4.46:16 closed [7] - 33:1, 63:18, 63:21, 67:10, 76:12, 76:16 closing [1] - 67:18 Code [2] - 14:15, 45.8 code [1] - 28:25 codified [2] - 14:15, 45:7 colleagues [1] - 5:10 collecting [1] - 58:20 College [1] - 28:25 combustion [1] - 9:7 coming [10] - 17:18, 17:22, 22:19, 28:22, 32:19, 37:17, 38:10, 52:20, 62:1, 68:15 comment [5] - 7:17, 7:18, 11:4, 11:6, 11:22 comments [4] - 9:25, 10:14, 35:24, 40:9 commercially [1] -15:11 COMMISSION [3] -1:9, 1:10, 2:4 Commission [5] -3:7, 6:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:13 commissioner [1] -47:13 COMMISSIONER [1] - 1:8 Commissioner [2] -3:6, 33:24 commissioner's [1] -35:23 commitments [1] -67:18 common [2] - 28:11, 30:6 companies [2] -8:24, 28:21 company [1] - 34:19 compared [1] - 33:16 compelling [1] -67:22 compensation [1] -46:18 complaints [1] - 9:9 completed [1] -56:11 completeness [1] -14:21 compliance [1] -

compliant [5] - 8:11, 8:16, 9:4, 15:14, 17:4 complied [2] - 53:13, 57:24 comply [2] - 67:14, 76:21 concept [2] - 10:18, 53.12 concern [5] - 40:12, 56:2, 59:7, 59:12, 64:8 concerned [3] - 35:4, 35:5, 64:2 concerning [4] -7:18, 19:18, 19:21, 58:23 concerns [7] - 38:13, 38:16, 51:25, 64:5, 68:4, 68:8, 69:22 conditions [3] - 28:7, 28:11, 32:1 conduct [2] - 11:10, 43:9 conducted [1] - 11:8 conference [3] -9:15, 10:4, 30:9 confident [1] - 60:24 confirm [1] - 76:19 confirmed [3] -44:18, 44:20, 63:16 confusion [1] - 59:4 congestion [18] -15:13, 17:13, 21:8, 23:15, 23:18, 33:13, 33:19, 34:2, 37:25, 38:8, 39:7, 43:1, 46:22, 46:23, 47:6, 47:9, 47:15 conjunction [1] -39:6 consent [1] - 76:24 consequences [1] -39:16 consider [3] - 17:20, 33:23, 41:21 consideration [1] -68:3 considerations [1] -67.11 considered [1] -68·24 consistent [3] -42:25, 77:1 consolidated [2] -74:7, 74:10 consult [1] - 60:3 contact [3] - 77:4, 77:5, 77:7 contemplating [2] -46:23, 46:24

contempt [1] - 5:22 contention [1] - 11:4 contesting [1] - 40:5 context [2] - 73:22, 73:23 continuation [1] -73:22 continue [7] - 5:25, 8:21, 14:16, 54:1, 55:11, 55:17, 57:11 continued [3] - 2:1, 42:12, 42:22 continuing [1] - 42:6 contract [1] - 69:7 contrary [2] - 62:16, 67:23 contrast [1] - 30:8 contribute [1] - 21:8 contributing [2] -43:1 control [1] - 37:24 controlled [1] -14:13 controlling [1] -45:14 controls [1] - 15:13 conversation [1] -59:7 converse [1] - 50:22 converting [1] - 33:2 copies [1] - 10:13 copy [1] - 10:21 copying [1] - 70:21 corporate [1] - 29:11 Corporation [1] -4.19 corporations [4] -28:20, 28:21, 29:17, 34.14correct [17] - 7:3, 7:7, 7:19, 7:20, 8:20, 20:16, 24:25, 27:4, 28:9, 36:19, 41:15, 43:22, 44:5, 63:12, 65:18, 72:19, 72:25 correctly [4] - 20:18, 28:3, 54:11, 56:17 cost [3] - 22:24, 36:10, 36:11 costs [2] - 32:13, 57:3 Council [9] - 11:11, 14:3, 14:10, 14:13, 14:24, 20:23, 21:16, 31:5, 39:10 Counsel [4] - 4:19, 70:9, 75:6, 75:24 counsel [22] - 3:2, 4:12, 5:2, 5:25, 6:8, 6:11, 6:23, 7:1, 31:8,

44:22, 53:17, 67:17, 70:12, 70:21, 71:1, 71:7, 71:16, 71:19, 73:7, 74:3, 74:13, 76.5 count [1] - 45:10 COUNTY [1] - 1:2 couple [5] - 5:4, 19:1, 29:21, 45:2, 47:24 coupled [1] - 61:25 course [4] - 23:13, 32:22, 34:11, 34:18 Court [14] - 1:25, 10:17, 20:15, 22:2, 25:19, 38:24, 39:4, 42:4, 43:19, 57:6, 68:15, 70:20, 74:22, 77:17 court [12] - 5:13, 5:14, 5:21, 5:22, 12:15, 60:25, 61:10, 67:5, 75:4, 76:6, 76:7, 77:2 COURT [108] - 1:1, 3:1, 3:18, 3:25, 4:9, 4:11, 4:15, 4:22, 5:6, 5:13, 6:16, 6:18, 7:4, 7:6, 7:15, 7:21, 8:13, 8:21, 9:20, 9:22, 10:5, 11:3, 11:17, 12:11, 13:19, 13:23, 15:21, 16:9, 18:7, 19:24, 24:17, 25:25, 26:24, 27:5, 28:16, 28:24, 29:10, 29:13, 29:18, 29:23, 35:15, 40:20, 40:23, 41:9, 41:17, 42:1, 42:7, 43:13, 44:3, 44:8, 44:20, 44:24, 45:4, 47:16, 48:16, 49:22, 50:22, 51:24, 52:18, 53:17, 56:1, 56:9, 57:5, 59:6, 60:8, 60:22, 61:4, 61:11, 62:6, 62:15, 63:5, 63:8, 63:13, 63:15, 63:19, 63:24, 64:18, 65:9, 66:14, 66:16, 67:5, 68:17, 69:20, 70:12, 70:23, 71:4, 71:13, 71:16, 71:25, 72:4, 72:8, 72:22, 73:4, 73:7, 73:15, 73:24, 74:3, 74:5, 74:13, 75:4, 75:15, 75:22, 75:24, 76:12, 76:16, 76:23, 77:1, 77:10 Court's [3] - 60:16,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

```
62:16, 71:2
 courtesy [2] - 23:18,
66:1
 create [2] - 14:11
 created [1] - 46:6
 creates [1] - 69:2
 creating [1] - 69:5
 criteria [4] - 28:24,
42:18, 50:15, 54:3
 crystal [1] - 42:9
 current [8] - 22:5,
27:16, 27:22, 31:24,
40:13, 46:23, 49:8,
58:10
 customer [1] - 46:25
 cut [4] - 36:25,
37:12, 61:19, 62:5
 cutoff [17] - 60:7,
60:18, 61:15, 62:6,
63:7, 64:3, 64:10,
64:14, 64:21, 65:2,
65:5, 65:9, 65:20,
66:5, 67:13, 68:11
 cutout [1] - 62:19
 cuts [1] - 69:21
 cutting [1] - 59:12
           D
 damage [1] - 31:22
 DANIEL [1] - 1:18
 data [7] - 17:15,
30:11, 35:24, 53:4,
53:9, 58:20, 58:22
 date [14] - 36:1, 41:3,
41:4, 41:14, 44:23,
52:1, 56:5, 57:12,
65:5, 65:9, 65:10,
67:21, 69:7, 75:18
 dated [1] - 35:19
 dates [2] - 65:15,
73:15
 DAVID [1] - 1:8
 Davidson [1] - 28:25
 days [3] - 52:12,
52:13, 52:15
 deadline [17] - 41:14,
42:7, 49:1, 52:1, 52:3,
52:4, 57:6, 67:6,
68:10, 68:12, 68:13,
68:16, 68:21, 69:11,
69:15, 70:5, 71:6
 deadlines [1] - 52:9
 deals [1] - 39:24
 debate [1] - 32:15
 decent [1] - 60:18
 decide [2] - 22:9,
50:20
 decided [2] - 49:7,
```

51:4

deciding [2] - 13:12, 22.23 decision [1] - 60:16 decrease [3] - 46:12, 47.14 delay [1] - 35:1 deliberative [1] -35:7 demand [5] - 10:18, 34:1, 49:14, 50:21, 51:7 denied [3] - 42:17, 53:21, 54:9 deny [1] - 40:22 denying [1] - 57:9 DEPARTMENT[1] -1:20 Department [4] -3:22, 3:23, 5:10, 19:2 deposit [1] - 25:5 deposits [2] - 56:20, 56:24 deputy [1] - 35:23 describe [1] - 54:23 described [1] - 70:6 designed [1] - 46:4 detail [1] - 10:20 details [2] - 58:6, 76.20 determination [1] -21:3 determine [3] - 17:9, 17:10, 50:14 determined [2] -18:15, 23:17 developments [1] -73:21 dictated [1] - 20:23 difference [1] - 58:3 different [6] - 9:23, 10:9, 21:6, 56:25, 64:13 difficulties [1] -12:10 DiGiovanni's [1] -9:24 direct [1] - 5:6 direction [1] - 36:6 directly [2] - 40:2, 75:4 dirtier [1] - 32:24 disagree [3] - 48:18, 54:18, 59:2 disclosure [2] - 5:25, 6:8 discreet [2] - 24:1 discrete [3] - 15:2, 17:8, 20:5 discretion [5] -16:24, 17:3, 34:5,

51:9, 51:16 discretionary [2] -16:20, 32:16 discuss [1] - 74:10 discussed [1] -14:25 discussion [1] - 77:8 disincentivize [2] -47:1, 47:3 dispatch [1] - 9:1 dispute [2] - 8:1, 8:5 disruptive [1] - 5:18 district [1] - 38:10 DO [1] - 1:8 document [2] - 27:2, 69:3 documents [1] -47:19 dollars [2] - 31:18, 31:19 done [17] - 16:17, 17:1, 17:17, 18:2, 20:6, 21:18, 22:2, 23:24, 40:18, 50:4, 52:10, 52:24, 55:5, 56:12, 57:19, 74:3 DOT [2] - 23:14, 39:7 double [2] - 27:25, 49:24 doubt [1] - 25:16 down [16] - 19:23, 22:24, 25:4, 25:5, 27:12, 32:13, 33:1, 37:18, 45:17, 46:2, 46:8, 46:9, 56:20, 62:2, 77:3 dragging [1] - 66:13 drive [1] - 28:9 driver [16] - 14:4, 15:13, 17:12, 27:24, 31:10, 31:16, 33:17, 34:3, 34:15, 36:7, 36:8, 36:9, 45:24, 45:25, 47:12, 47:14 drivers [25] - 22:20, 23:3, 25:16, 26:3, 27:16, 27:20, 27:23, 30:24, 31:1, 31:6, 31:18, 31:23, 31:24, 31:25, 32:12, 32:18, 34:20, 34:22, 39:25, 46:4, 46:9, 46:16, 46:17, 46:21, 47:1 drives [1] - 23:4 driving [7] - 23:5, 28:5, 28:6, 28:13, 28:14, 28:19 due [1] - 69:12 duly [4] - 9:13, 9:14, 15:15, 30:4

during [5] - 10:15, 20:19, 20:22, 23:25, 35:25 dynamic [3] - 33:21, 33:22, 47:11 dynamics [1] - 34:6

Ε

ear [1] - 61:1 earliest [1] - 62:5 early [3] - 35:24, 71:7, 73:17 earnings [2] - 36:8, 36.9 easier [4] - 59:19, 61:15, 66:12, 70:18 economic [1] - 31:8 effect [2] - 36:23, 47:10 effective [1] - 10:4 effectively [1] - 9:5 effects [3] - 22:10, 43:11, 65:8 either [12] - 6:2, 6:6, 11:21, 13:3, 13:9, 25:4, 43:3, 51:15, 59:9, 63:23, 66:9, 70:14 electric [14] - 6:22, 6:24, 8:8, 9:2, 9:7, 9:18, 13:3, 13:6, 13:13, 16:23, 25:4, 26:21, 30:16, 38:20 electronic [2] - 7:11, 69:21 element [1] - 36:24 eligibility [4] - 22:3, 27:21, 50:14, 51:22 eligible [5] - 50:2, 50:3, 50:25, 51:1, 51:7 elsewhere [1] - 75:3 ELUTO [1] - 2:6 email [5] - 43:20, 70:20, 74:25, 75:1, 75.2 emailed [1] - 44:22 emissions [4] -32:22, 33:2, 33:7, 33:14 employed [1] - 6:10 empowered [1] -14:15 end [1] - 37:13 ended [2] - 21:23, 50:15 energy [1] - 32:23 engage [2] - 10:24, 11:15

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

engaged [1] - 34:5

4

enjoin [3] - 6:20, 53:20, 58:24 enjoined [1] - 55:14 enjoining [3] - 7:8, 24:13, 53:22 ensure [1] - 60:16 entire [1] - 64:3 entirety [1] - 61:7 entities [2] - 13:8, 29.11entreaties [1] - 32:8 enumerated [1] -45:13 environmental [2] -32:20, 38:16 equal [1] - 50:17 equities [13] - 18:25, 19:12, 19:25, 24:15, 25:7, 30:19, 34:21, 34:24, 39:22, 39:23, 45:23, 59:3, 68:5 equity [5] - 24:19, 27:7, 27:13, 29:19, 32:18 especially [4] -38:10, 53:7, 53:8, 55:12 ESQ [6] - 1:18, 1:18, 1:21, 1:22, 1:22, 2:6 essence.. [1] - 54:9 establish [2] - 22:3, 60[.]18 establishing [1] -73:25 estimate [1] - 48:9 et [1] - 3:7 EV [22] - 6:23, 9:19, 10:16, 11:1, 11:6, 12:19, 15:6, 17:7, 19:8, 21:23, 23:19, 24:10, 26:11, 27:23, 30:8, 33:5, 35:21, 36:14, 39:15, 49:16, 53:9, 62:12 EV's [7] - 10:13, 13:9, 15:9, 15:10, 32:22, 33:6, 33:8 exactly [1] - 72:9 exam [1] - 29:1 example [2] - 31:15, 34.19 exceed [1] - 43:3 exceeds [1] - 34:1 excessive [2] - 23:2, 23:18 excuse [2] - 13:20, 58:1 exemption [12] -7:12, 10:2, 15:6, 15:9,

COUNTY CLERK 01/16/2024 10:55 NEW YORK AM 'ILED:

favor [3] - 25:8,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

```
15:12, 15:19, 16:15,
16:25, 19:8, 30:3,
                            27:14, 39:22
30:8, 33:5
 exhausted [1] -
74:15
 Exhibit [1] - 10:21
 exhibits [1] - 10:8
 existing [6] - 9:6,
9:17, 28:6, 33:8,
33:25, 55:16
 exists [1] - 46:24
 expect [2] - 18:4,
44:17
 expected [1] - 70:3
 expedited [2] -
73:13, 74:7
 expenses [1] - 46:11
 experiencing [1] -
12:10
 experiment [1] -
30:20
 expert [2] - 39:10,
39:11
 explain [3] - 26:22,
30:14, 31:15
 explained [4] - 12:9,
22:1, 22:12, 22:16
 explains [1] - 45:15
 expressed [1] -
10:16
 extended [2] - 62:23,
64:14
 extending [1] - 63:6
 extensive [1] - 45:15
 extent [5] - 49:14,
52:8, 52:9, 73:11
 extra [2] - 69:1, 69:2
 extremely [1] - 50:11
 eve [1] - 50:9
           F
```

fact [12] - 7:25, 11:23, 13:17, 21:18, 21:22, 22:5, 24:23, 35:18, 41:16, 54:24, 57:23, 67:14 factoring [1] - 12:17 factors [14] - 17:10, 17:20, 23:15, 24:15, 26:9, 33:13, 33:23, 35:18, 37:21, 38:12, 39:9, 45:13, 45:15 factual [1] - 40:6 failure [1] - 35:11 fair [3] - 28:1, 28:3, 69:8 fairly [1] - 36:13 familiar [1] - 5:2 far [2] - 23:8, 49:3

53·1

55:7

February [23] - 12:8, 18:2. 23:14. 33:22. 35:19, 36:3, 37:3, 37:5, 40:3, 43:13, 43:15, 44:9, 44:15, 44:19, 49:21, 51:14, 52:16, 53:8, 54:5, 55:12, 55:18, 58:18 fee [4] - 16:22, 46:23, 57:3, 57:17 fees [4] - 9:19, 38:8, 46:22, 57:22 fell [1] - 11:21 felt [1] - 15:6 few [9] - 9:12, 13:1, 25:3, 32:25, 35:16, 37:24, 39:16, 43:15, 66:8 FHV [7] - 6:24, 9:19, 10:24, 11:14, 13:2, 14:6, 21:17 FHV's [3] - 34:14, 36:10, 37:4 field [1] - 70:2 figure [1] - 55:20 file [2] - 40:18, 72:24 fill [1] - 76:20 final [1] - 73:1 finally [1] - 24:14 financial [4] - 25:9, 56:14, 57:21, 59:14 fine [2] - 24:9, 75:11 fingers [1] - 77:6 finish [1] - 24:22 finished [1] - 24:17 First [1] - 19:2 first [15] - 4:5, 6:13, 7:24, 14:2, 16:9, 29:21, 35:16, 35:22, 35:23, 47:6, 47:23, 48:6, 55:9, 59:1, 63.25 five [1] - 46:7 fleet [7] - 9:6, 9:17, 13:1, 33:3, 33:8, 34:1 flood [2] - 30:18, 32:12 Floor [1] - 2:5 folks [1] - 60:17 follow [6] - 16:7, 19:3, 35:11, 45:18,

followed [2] - 35:8, following [3] - 6:19, 51:13, 66:1 for-hire [12] - 6:25, 7:11, 8:24, 8:25, 14:4,

21:3, 21:4, 21:5, 32:5, 32:9, 33:25, 37:20 fore [1] - 20:3 foreseeing [1] -23:11 forewarn [1] - 59:21 forget [3] - 18:8, 29:22, 29:25 forgive [4] - 30:13, 53:15, 58:6, 73:19 form [3] - 23:23, 24:7, 24:11 formally [1] - 32:4 formed [1] - 58:19 formerly [1] - 6:10 forth [9] - 12:25, 23:13, 24:1, 24:3, 37:14, 39:21, 40:4, 40:6, 71:11 forward [13] - 13:14, 14:11, 42:11, 48:9, 54:4, 54:6, 54:13, 55:11, 55:15, 55:22, 59:5, 60:12, 76:8 fossil [1] - 32:24 frame [4] - 57:9, 57:12, 57:15, 72:14 framed [1] - 57:7 framework [1] -47:12 Friday [15] - 62:20, 62:23. 63:6. 63:18. 63:23, 66:14, 66:15, 66:16, 66:24, 67:4, 67:17, 67:21, 67:25, 68:1, 69:24 fuel [1] - 32:24 full [1] - 48:24 fullness [2] - 6:7, 57:19 fully [2] - 36:5, 73:11 furtherance [1] -13:11 future [1] - 53:6

G

gain [2] - 34:13, 34:17 gathered [1] - 68:17 gauge [1] - 58:17 general [4] - 38:16, 44:22, 56:18, 56:23 gentleman [1] -47:23 geographic [1] -21:6 given [3] - 46:11, 62:22, 76:4 go-ahead [1] - 58:8

goals [1] - 17:11 gold [9] - 36:24, 59:23, 64:4, 64:16, 66:3, 66:10, 69:5, 70:6, 70:15 government [1] -31:3 granting [4] - 27:10, 55:24, 57:9, 59:9 great [5] - 10:18, 37:15, 74:23, 75:13, 77:6 greater [1] - 62:21 Green [9] - 8:9, 8:22, 9:10, 9:24, 10:14, 12:18, 12:24, 13:16, 54:22 green [1] - 58:8 grid [1] - 32:23 gross [1] - 46:12 ground [1] - 69:18 grouped [1] - 12:11 growth [1] - 36:12 guess [1] - 51:4 guessing [1] - 56:4

н

half [1] - 30:15 hand [2] - 31:9, 31:10 happy [1] - 4:8 hard [1] - 65:13 harm [11] - 19:25, 22:14, 25:13, 30:19, 32:20, 34:25, 35:1. 42:20, 48:21, 60:10, 65:6 harms [2] - 31:19, 38:25 hastily [1] - 35:7 headed [1] - 70:25 hear [16] - 6:8, 6:23, 10:5, 12:6, 27:6, 28:3, 29:19, 56:16, 60:6, 60:13, 60:20, 61:2, 71:7, 71:18, 73:17, 75.19 heard [28] - 4:2, 12:12. 27:8. 27:15. 27:21. 40:20. 44:24. 48:20, 53:17, 54:10, 54:24, 56:9, 57:21, 62:6, 63:3, 63:5, 63:25, 70:16, 71:10, 72:6, 72:8, 72:12, 73:8, 73:16, 73:20, 74:13, 75:19 hearing [13] - 7:18, 10:7, 10:12, 10:14,

INDEX NO. 160795/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

10:15, 11:7, 22:18,

5

24:19, 46:14, 52:14, 54:23, 67:2 hearings [4] - 7:22, 7:23, 8:12, 11:4 heart [1] - 35:25 heavily [1] - 24:15 heavy [1] - 23:11 held [2] - 8:11, 77:8 help [2] - 13:14, 37:24 helpful [1] - 65:16 high [6] - 8:23, 13:1, 13:8, 36:11, 38:1, 42:3 highly [1] - 22:15 hire [12] - 6:25, 7:11, 8:24, 8:25, 14:4, 21:3, 21:4, 21:5, 32:5, 32:9, 33:25, 37:20 history [2] - 15:17, 31:7 hit [1] - 59:14 hitting [2] - 46:16, 47:2 hold [1] - 57:13 holding [1] - 17:13 holiday [9] - 63:20, 66:14, 66:15, 67:6, 67:15, 67:17, 68:1, 69:24 hollowed [1] - 46:6 home [2] - 31:17, 46:13 honor [1] - 28:25 Honor [49] - 3:13, 3:20, 4:8, 4:14, 4:18, 5:9, 6:15, 6:17, 7:3, 7:5, 7:14, 7:20, 10:11, 12:7, 12:20, 13:20, 21:1, 23:8, 25:20, 28:11, 28:20, 29:7, 30:1, 35:12, 40:2, 41:5, 43:4, 43:18, 43:22, 44:5, 44:10, 44:14, 44:21, 45:3, 47:22, 52:19, 57:2, 58:15, 58:23, 60:5, 61:12, 63:2, 63:16, 64:3, 65:17, 68:8, 73:20, 75:12, 76:14 HONORABLE [1] -1:13 hope [1] - 67:1 hour [4] - 46:1, 46:4, 59:24, 76:4 hours [5] - 9:12, 59:24, 61:18, 62:7, 62:21 house [1] - 32:14

indicates [3] - 10:23,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

```
INDEX NO. 160795/2023
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024
```

6

huge [1] - 32:19 hundreds [1] - 31:18 hurt [1] - 40:8 hypothetical [2] -17:5, 50:6 hypothetically [2] -29:3, 42:12

I

icons [2] - 5:1, 5:7 idea [3] - 14:18, 18:13, 47:7 identical [1] - 34:6 identified [3] - 5:3, 5:17, 34:8 identify [1] - 4:6 ignore [1] - 35:17 Ikard [1] - 5:11 IKARD [1] - 2:8 illuminated [1] -13:24 immediately [1] -10:4 impact [6] - 18:5, 30:10, 32:18, 39:3, 40:13, 58:17 implemented [2] -8:7, 62:23 implementing [1] -7:10 implications [1] -31:2 important [3] - 15:4, 68:10, 68:14 imposed [3] - 15:25, 38:8, 73:12 imposes [1] - 68:17 imposing [1] - 51:17 inactive [3] - 37:5, 37:7, 37:9 incapable [1] - 18:5 inclined [1] - 67:16 include [1] - 3:10 including [2] - 62:13, 67:19 income [7] - 14:4, 15:14, 17:12, 27:16, 31:20, 33:13, 47:14 incomes [1] - 36:7 incorrect [1] - 14:20 increase [4] - 21:5, 23:12, 40:12, 43:10 increased [2] - 33:1, 42:13 increasing [1] - 43:2 incur [1] - 57:4 Index [1] - 1:3 Indian [1] - 32:25 indicate [1] - 75:6

12:17, 27:17 indication [1] - 20:7 individual [5] -22:20, 27:19, 28:18, 34:11, 47:19 individually [3] - 3:5, 25:16, 26:3 individuals [7] -5:11, 22:20, 25:14, 26:2, 27:19, 29:16, 39:25 industries [1] - 50:24 industry [3] - 19:19, 30:18, 46:11 inefficiently [1] -38:4 influenced [1] -41:11 influences [1] -41:22 inform [1] - 60:15 information [7] -10:17, 36:2, 40:6, 43:11, 48:1, 53:7, 58:14 inherent [1] - 45:9 initial [5] - 15:5, 20:17, 20:19, 38:13, 45.8initiative [1] - 13:11 Initiative 191 - 8:10. 8:22, 9:10, 9:24, 10:15, 12:18, 12:24, 13:16, 54:23 injunction [10] -20:1, 25:19, 27:10, 39:4, 64:17, 65:8, 71:23, 72:21, 73:23, 74:1 injury [1] - 39:20 input [3] - 9:15, 35:8, 58:16 inquiry [2] - 11:18, 57:5 inserted [1] - 24:2 inserting [1] - 23:24 inspected [2] - 26:7, 48:2 inspection [2] - 26:5, 48.3 inspections [1] -54:3 instance [1] - 57:16 instead [6] - 6:23, 20:6, 24:10, 59:13, 59:18, 74:8 interest [10] - 10:16, 19:15, 35:5, 36:14, 38:5, 39:24, 55:20,

58:7, 58:17 interested [4] -18:21, 22:18, 41:4, 50:2 interim [1] - 60:10 internal [1] - 9:6 internally [1] - 50:25 interpretation [1] -52:23 interrelated [2] -11:20, 11:25 interrupt [3] - 8:13, 41:9, 51:24 interrupted [1] -24:18 intricacies [1] -13:16 invest [1] - 42:14 invested [1] - 27:12 investment [4] -25:9, 42:20, 56:14, 57:22 invoke [2] - 14:18 invoked [1] - 31:6 involved [1] - 13:16 involves [1] - 52:12 involving [1] - 19:2 irreparable [4] -19:25, 30:19, 31:22, 39:20 Island [2] - 1:17, 3:16 issuance [11] -10:13, 11:14, 11:16, 12:22, 13:18, 14:6, 26:11, 47:18, 52:6, 53:9, 58:24 issuances [1] -17:15 issue [26] - 10:10, 10:24, 12:19, 14:22, 15:23, 15:24, 16:1, 16:5, 16:7, 16:10, 17:7, 17:8, 20:5, 21:2, 24:9, 25:19, 32:17, 38:23, 39:4, 39:12, 41:12, 48:24, 54:2, 54:17, 67:6, 71:24 issued [3] - 9:19, 12:9, 20:21 issues [4] - 11:20, 11:25, 54:25, 69:6 issuing [5] - 7:8, 21:4, 21:17, 21:23, 36:1 it'll [1] - 66:20 itself [4] - 49:25, 54:15, 56:10, 72:17

J January [2] - 37:6 Jessica [1] - 4:16 JESSICA [1] - 1:22 jointly [1] - 71:1 Judge [50] - 3:3, 6:3, 21:10, 22:7, 22:13, 22:17, 23:3, 24:2, 26:8, 35:13, 36:22, 38:3, 39:6, 40:19, 41:15, 41:20, 44:12, 44:18, 48:12, 49:6, 49:8, 50:8, 51:18, 52:3, 52:16, 53:15, 55:25, 56:22, 59:10, 59:17, 60:2, 60:21, 61:14, 61:17, 62:12, 63:18, 65:12, 66:1, 66:6, 66:18, 69:9, 69:17, 70:18, 71:11, 71:22, 73:1, 73:11, 74:25, 75:20, 76:11 Judge.. [1] - 40:21 judging [1] - 18:5 Judgment [1] - 1:6 jury [1] - 71:21 justice [1] - 31:8 Justice [1] - 1:13 justified [1] - 40:17

Κ

K-A-T-Z-E-N [1] -4:16 KAREN [1] - 1:21 Karen [3] - 3:21, 4:20, 65:18 KATZEN [2] - 1:22, 4.18 Katzen [2] - 4:16, 4:24 keep [3] - 22:6, 41:6, 50:13 keeping [1] - 17:12 keeps [4] - 23:23, 36:16, 43:23, 50:9 key [1] - 21:9 KIM [1] - 1:22 kind [3] - 30:7. 45:16. 50:25 knows [5] - 29:8, 39:10, 39:23, 56:24, 72:10 Komanoff [1] - 32:23 L

Langley [3] - 4:5, 4:7, 4:13

LANGLEY [3] - 1:18, 4:7, 4:10 language [2] - 20:14, 21:19 lapse [1] - 14:14 lapsed [4] - 14:13, 14:24, 15:5, 45:20 large [1] - 43:10 largest [1] - 8:24 last [9] - 12:12, 12:13, 19:13, 36:12, 37:24, 46:6, 46:14, 63:4, 63:5 late [1] - 76:8 lately [1] - 38:8 latest [2] - 35:19, 70:21 LAW [1] - 1:20 law [8] - 3:11, 30:22, 40:17, 45:7, 48:18, 54:15, 73:3, 75:2 Law [27] - 1:6, 3:21, 3:23, 5:10, 6:3, 10:22, 11:10, 11:12, 12:21, 13:21, 14:2, 14:13, 14:15, 14:19, 15:16, 17:11, 20:14, 20:16, 21:20, 23:16, 31:5, 44:7, 45:6, 45:20, 52:6, 52:23 lawfully [1] - 16:14 lawsuit [4] - 40:18, 41:7. 51:19. 51:23 lead [5] - 4:11, 4:21, 42:16, 55:1, 64:4 leak [1] - 32:14 leaks [1] - 32:14 leap [1] - 40:7 lease [4] - 28:16, 29:5, 34:12, 34:14 leases [2] - 23:9, 26:20 leasing [8] - 22:21, 29:12, 31:25, 32:3, 32:5, 32:13, 36:10 least [10] - 16:2, 18:16, 27:18, 39:12, 52:12, 52:14, 53:3, 55:4, 55:24, 73:12 leaves [1] - 76:7 legislative [1] - 31:7 lens [1] - 70:1 less [8] - 6:5, 33:17, 42:11, 46:4, 46:21, 51:15, 62:7, 68:10 letter [1] - 75:16 level [4] - 36:11, 42:6, 49:8, 49:20

levels [2] - 33:20,

36:4

COUNTY CLERK 01/16/2024 10:55 FILED: NEW YORK AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

7

library [1] - 67:9 license [9] - 7:12, 14:16, 16:10, 25:12, 28:4, 34:11, 37:13, 48:15, 51:8 licensed [12] - 22:20, 23:4, 25:16, 26:3, 26:4, 26:14, 27:20, 27:22, 37:4, 47:23, 47:24, 48:13 licensees [4] - 40:14, 57:4, 59:5, 60:15 licenses [68] - 6:25, 7:9, 9:19, 10:16, 10:19, 10:24, 11:1, 11:6, 11:14, 12:19, 12:23, 13:13, 13:18, 14:6, 14:22, 15:23, 15:24, 16:1, 16:5, 16:7, 17:7, 17:8, 17:15, 17:18, 17:22, 17:23, 18:4, 18:13, 19:14, 20:5, 20:18, 20:20, 21:3, 21:5, 21:7, 21:17, 21:24, 22:4, 23:19, 24:10, 24:25, 25:6, 25:10, 26:11, 26:15, 31:10, 35:21, 36:1, 36:14, 37:9, 37:12, 37:17, 39:3, 39:12, 39:15, 40:1, 48:24, 49:16, 52:6, 53:10, 54:2, 54:17, 58:24, 62:12, 62.18 licensing [8] - 15:6, 16:22. 22:25. 27:25. 28:15, 28:21, 36:25, 73:21 life [1] - 50:23 lift [1] - 45:11 light [9] - 11:24, 44:25, 48:16, 49:2, 58:8, 62:21, 67:14, 68:8, 70:12 likely [1] - 52:14 limit [4] - 16:7, 12:12, 61:6 31:10, 51:21 limited [11] - 17:8, 20:17, 21:23, 22:4, 40:12 29:6, 29:9, 45:13, maintaining [2] -50:13, 68:1, 71:24, 25:20, 45:10 73:12 limiting [1] - 52:8 LIMOUSINE [3] - 1:9, Manhattan [1] -1:10.2:4 38:11 Limousine [3] - 3:6, manner [1] - 5:19 6:4, 21:2 line [1] - 40:10 list [7] - 17:25, 30:17, 17:23, 36:15, 46:15,

36:17, 45:15, 55:21, 66:7 listening [1] - 60:8 litigation [1] - 42:16 Liu [1] - 75:5 lives [2] - 31:2, 31:7 Local [22] - 10:22, 11:9, 11:12, 12:21, 13:21, 14:1, 14:13, 14:14, 14:19, 15:16, 17:11, 20:14, 20:16, 21:19, 23:16, 31:5, 44:7, 45:6, 45:20, 52:5, 52:23 located [1] - 3:23 logging [1] - 46:17 look [9] - 16:18, 17:10, 23:15, 23:16, 33:13, 39:12, 43:9, 51:14, 65:14 looked [8] - 13:23, 36:7, 36:9, 36:10, 36:11, 37:6, 37:8, 66:19 looking [11] - 11:24, 27:1, 31:16, 33:10, 34:24, 37:21, 39:8, 39:9, 66:24, 67:20, 73:13 looks [1] - 23:14 losing [1] - 31:16 loss [1] - 31:19 lost [3] - 31:7, 31:20, 57:13 lower [1] - 51:10 luxury [1] - 76:1 Lyft [1] - 38:2 Lyfts [2] - 8:25, 13:2 LYNN [1] - 1:22 Μ MACHELLE [1] -1.13Machelle [1] - 3:2 Madam [3] - 6:22,

madam [1] - 61:4

majority [1] - 22:19

mandate [1] - 53:1

manners [1] - 5:20

March [9] - 17:6,

main [2] - 14:2,

MARIA [1] - 77:16 Maria [3] - 1:24, 77:2.77:17 market [3] - 8:25, 13:7, 40:11 massive [1] - 66:7 materialized [1] -70.15 Matter [1] - 1:3 matter [4] - 3:3, 3:4, 7:24, 17:24 maybe.. [1] - 28:25 mean [11] - 24:6, 29:15, 31:2, 32:15, 32:18, 52:21, 64:7, 67:25, 68:7, 68:25, 70:24 meaning [7] - 5:14, 7:18, 26:5, 27:19, 46:9, 54:15, 76:5 meaningfully [1] -17:21 means [3] - 5:20, 67:7, 67:8 meant [1] - 8:17 meantime [1] - 50:10 mechanisms [1] -37:23 meet [3] - 13:14, 42:18.54:2 meeting [1] - 43:1 members [2] - 5:15, 25:17 memo [1] - 73:2 mentality [1] - 36:24 mention [1] - 46:5 mentioned [6] - 16:4, 24:23, 27:15, 38:16, 43:13, 45:24 merits [2] - 72:3, 73:1 method [1] - 58:19 Microsoft [1] - 1:11 middle [1] - 69:18 might [8] - 8:5, 9:6, 38:20, 44:17, 47:3, 57:4, 59:1, 59:19 military [1] - 63:11 million [1] - 24:10 millions [2] - 31:18, 31:19 mind [6] - 22:6, 29:21, 41:6, 42:24, 50:13, 77:5 mindful [2] - 62:15, 62:20 minimum [4] - 30:24, 38:1, 45:25, 46:1

49:6, 50:14, 58:5,

58:6, 58:9

minute [2] - 59:15, 60.2 minutes [3] - 17:24, 59:10.77:14 mischaracterizing [1] - 20:13 missed [1] - 64:18 missing [2] - 64:23, 73:19 misstated [1] - 13:4 misstating [1] -36:16 moment [2] - 8:13, 76:19 Monday [32] - 48:11, 48:13, 63:23, 63:24, 64:1, 64:2, 64:21, 65:2, 65:4, 65:10, 66:11, 66:13, 67:20, 67:23, 68:2, 68:3, 68:4, 68:13, 68:20, 68:24, 69:18, 69:21, 70:5, 70:8, 70:11, 70:14, 70:20, 71:7, 71:21, 72:5, 73:17, 74:24 money [2] - 46:2, 57:18 month [6] - 26:16, 37:8, 43:16, 43:24, 43:25, 44:17 monthly [1] - 22:24 months [14] - 22:7, 30:12, 30:14, 35:19, 39:8, 39:16, 43:5, 43:15, 43:21, 43:24, 44:2, 44:12, 66:8 morning [1] - 65:1 most [2] - 36:8, 52.14 mostly [1] - 32:24 motion [2] - 6:14, 74.8 move [1] - 65:20 moved [2] - 35:7, 35.8 moving [2] - 23:9, 35.4**MR** [52] - 3:13, 4:14, 5:4, 6:15, 7:3, 7:14, 7:20, 8:4, 8:19, 8:22, 9:21, 9:23, 13:20, 14:1, 16:6, 16:13, 18:23, 29:20, 30:1, 36:21, 43:22, 44:13, 45:2, 45:5, 52:19, 57:2, 58:1, 60:5, 60:9, 63:1, 63:6, 63:9, 63:14, 64:2, 64:25, 65:17, 65:23, 66:21,

67:1, 68:7, 68:25, 70:24, 72:19, 73:19, 73:25, 74:4, 74:11, 74:18, 74:23, 75:13, 76:18, 76:25 **MS** [75] - 3:20, 4:7, 4:10. 4:18. 5:9. 6:17. 7:5. 10:11. 11:8. 12:7. 12:16, 20:12, 24:23, 26:1, 27:4, 28:10, 28:18, 29:6, 29:11, 29:15, 35:12, 35:16, 36:22, 40:22, 41:5, 41:15, 41:25, 42:2, 43:4, 43:18, 44:5, 44:10, 44:18, 44:21, 47:22, 49:5, 50:7, 51:17, 52:3, 55:25, 56:2, 56:18, 59:10, 60:21, 61:3, 61:12, 62:12, 63:16, 63:20, 65:12, 65:21, 65:24, 66:15, 66:17, 66:23, 69:9, 70:10, 70:18, 71:11, 71:14, 71:22, 72:1, 72:7, 72:24, 73:5, 73:10, 74:6, 74:12, 74:20, 74:24, 75:11, 75:20, 75:23, 76:11, 76:14 MTA [1] - 46:23 must [5] - 6:1, 15:23, 20:8, 41:4, 42:14 mute [1] - 35:13 muted [2] - 5:16, 40:20

Ν

name [1] - 3:14 named [3] - 3:5, 3:8, 6:9 necessarily [1] - 8:5 necessary [1] -71:10 need [23] - 6:8, 11:8, 11:13, 12:1, 13:3, 13:8, 13:17, 15:11, 19:15, 24:3, 24:25, 43:2, 51:15, 61:2, 71:11, 71:19, 73:8, 74:22, 75:7, 75:15, 75:18, 77:3 needed [2] - 11:7, 21:7 needs [6] - 15:25, 24:1, 26:7, 38:24, 51:17, 51:18 net [3] - 34:13, 34:17, 46:20

COUNTY CLERK 01/16/2024 10:55 FILED: NEW YORK AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

14:25, 18:17, 18:19,

8

NEW [9] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:9, 1:9, 1:10, 1:16, 1:20, 2:4 new [31] - 6:21, 7:8, 7:9, 14:6, 16:7, 21:2, 21:4, 21:17, 22:10, 22:17, 23:12, 23:19, 24:10, 25:23, 26:1, 26:17, 27:19, 27:23, 29:4, 36:1, 40:10, 47:8, 53:7, 54:5, 55:12, 55:14, 57:8, 67:3, 75:1 New [16] - 1:17, 1:21, 2:5, 3:4, 3:6, 3:15, 3:16, 3:21, 3:23, 3:24, 5:10, 21:11, 32:24 news [2] - 38:7, 65:20 next [14] - 4:23, 13:1, 13:24, 20:2, 26:12, 42:10, 43:16, 44:8, 44:17, 44:19, 51:12, 51:19, 51:23, 59:24 night [1] - 76:8 nine [1] - 31:1 nobody [1] - 10:2 non [5] - 16:20, 34:2, 42:17, 45:20, 56:24 non-accessible [1] -34:2 non-discretionary [1] - 16:20 non-lapsed [1] -45:20 non-refundable [2] -42:17, 56:24 none [1] - 5:5 nonrefundable [3] -25:5, 27:13, 56:15 note [18] - 20:12, 24:14, 25:15, 26:8, 34:10, 34:23, 36:14, 37:5, 37:22, 38:15, 39:6, 40:5, 45:23, 47:6, 58:15, 61:14, 63:17, 69:10 noted [9] - 12:8, 35:19, 36:3, 36:6, 36:7, 36:13, 37:3, 38:24, 40:3 notes [1] - 32:23 nothing [7] - 15:16, 12:25, 36:2, 47:23, 48:4, 50:9, 50:11, 18:1, 33:21, 34:18, 52:21, 59:17, 65:25, 42:23, 47:11, 76:14 66:13, 66:25, 73:11, notice [14] - 7:18, 74:10, 74:24 10:3, 10:8, 10:12, 11:22, 12:2, 19:19, 30:6, 52:13, 60:17,

62:22, 67:12, 67:23,

67:24 noting [3] - 35:23, 41:16, 44:14 notion [2] - 19:1, 53:12 notwithstanding [2] - 20:25, 52:22 November [1] - 1:12 nowhere [1] - 24:2 Nuclear [1] - 32:25 null [1] - 19:6 nullity [2] - 45:16, 54:9 number [51] - 9:18, 10:15, 11:5, 15:2, 17:8, 17:9, 17:10, 17:11, 18:14, 18:18, 20:5, 20:7, 21:2, 21:4, 24:1, 24:4, 25:1, 26:24, 27:2, 33:18, 38:12, 41:3, 42:24, 43:2, 43:5, 43:10, 48:12, 48:13, 48:23, 48:24, 49:2, 49:20, 49:25, 51:9, 51:10, 51:11, 51:13, 53:2, 53:3, 53:9, 53:11, 53:13, 56:21, 62:17, 69:13 numbers [20] -22:17, 25:23, 25:25, 26:1, 27:3, 37:18, 41:23, 48:11, 51:15, 55:19, 56:4, 70:14, 70:16, 70:19, 70:21, 72:5, 72:13, 75:1, 75:16 NYSCEF [1] - 27:2 NYTWA [4] - 25:17, 26:18, 47:13, 61:23 NYTWA's [1] - 26:9 0 o'clock [6] - 57:16, 65:2, 75:9, 75:11, 75:13 objection [4] - 6:11, 6:17, 18:19, 24:8

objections [1] - 6:15

observe [1] - 5:15

obviously [14] -

occur [1] - 7:25

occurred [1] - 7:25

October [7] - 8:8,

11:1, 12:9, 18:3, 26:16, 44:15, 48:7 OF [5] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:8, 1:10 offered [2] - 36:15, 49:6 office [3] - 3:11, 6:9, 63:20 offline [1] - 74:12 often [1] - 38:5 old [1] - 29:5 older [1] - 29:4 once [5] - 6:3, 28:12, 42:17, 67:17, 74:17 one [40] - 5:9, 5:18, 6:5, 6:20, 7:8, 7:15, 7:17, 8:9, 8:13, 9:8, 11:20, 11:21, 12:4, 16:15, 18:23, 23:4, 23:5, 23:20, 27:2, 27:8, 27:9, 28:5, 28:7, 29:5, 29:9, 31:9, 46:15, 48:16, 51:4, 55:1, 55:2, 55:5, 61:1, 66:24, 68:20, 69:11, 71:6, 72:4, 74:9 onerous [2] - 32:6, 32:13 ones [5] - 29:12, 48:6, 54:16, 54:17, 55:14 online [2] - 17:24, 31:16 open [15] - 5:14, 9:18, 10:19, 12:19, 13:12, 17:2, 22:8, 25:22, 35:21, 39:14, 49:9, 58:25, 60:12, 62:13, 64:9 opened [2] - 11:1, 22:6 opening [2] - 11:5, 50:18 operate [1] - 38:4 operating [1] - 23:22 operator [1] - 34:11 operators [1] - 28:18 opportunity [3] -7:17, 49:17, 67:3 oppose [1] - 59:17 opposed [2] - 18:11, 64:9 opposition [2] -7:22, 10:6 option [3] - 49:3, 49:5, 51:16 options [1] - 16:2 oral [1] - 23:25 Oral [1] - 1:12 order [28] - 5:21,

5:22, 5:24, 6:12, 6:18, 18:21, 18:24, 19:17, 19:20, 29:23, 54:14, 57:7, 57:10, 57:18, 58:8, 58:17, 64:19, 67:6, 67:19, 68:15, 70:13, 71:1, 72:4, 72:16, 72:19, 76:3, 76:20, 77:10 ordinarily [1] - 76:4 organization [1] -65.24otherwise [3] -19:11, 55:19, 57:10 out-of-date [1] - 36:1 outline [1] - 76:22 outright [1] - 56:19 outside [1] - 41:22 overall [1] - 47:11 overnight [1] - 19:19 override [2] - 20:22, 21:19 overriding [1] -45:19 oversaturation [5] -14:4, 30:23, 31:11, 32:1, 50:5 overshadow [1] -64:16 overwhelm [1] -30:18 own [14] - 14:16, 16:7. 16:12. 18:6. 23:9, 28:13, 28:19, 31:23, 31:24, 34:15, 48:22, 49:18, 53:1, 53:13 owner/operator [1] -29.9 owner/operators [3] - 22:23, 25:18, 28:23 owners [2] - 32:2, 40:13 owning [1] - 23:9 Ρ **p.m** [10] - 56:6, 56:11, 61:20, 62:4, 62:7, 65:19, 67:16, 68:4, 69:19 pace [1] - 41:16 page [1] - 27:2 paid [4] - 27:12, 46:25, 57:3, 57:17 pandemic [4] -33:18, 35:25, 36:4, 36:9

papers [17] - 7:2,

7:15, 10:6, 11:18,

21:21, 23:24, 27:1, 27:15, 31:15, 36:19, 54:18, 55:3, 74:8, 74.9 paperwork [1] -47:25 parsing [1] - 12:1 PART [1] - 1:2 part [28] - 7:6, 14:2, 14:14, 16:9, 16:11, 18:12, 18:14, 19:12, 20:2, 21:9, 27:21, 28:8, 28:10, 28:24, 29:2, 36:8, 39:7, 53:20, 53:21, 53:22, 54:21, 55:24, 56:13, 57:9, 59:13, 62:10, 66:6 particularly [1] -69:3 parts [1] - 14:2 party [2] - 34:25 pass [1] - 19:4 passed [7] - 9:4, 9:11, 14:3, 31:5, 33:5, 38:1, 45:11 passenger [1] - 34:1 passengers [1] -34:4 past [8] - 21:18, 26:16, 40:11, 43:25, 52:11, 52:24, 55:4, 57:11 patch [1] - 32:14 paths [1] - 16:14 pause [14] - 14:6, 14:7, 14:9, 14:10, 14:12, 14:24, 15:5, 20:3, 20:17, 20:18, 20:23, 36:23, 37:15, 37:16 pay [14] - 31:10, 31:17, 32:7, 34:3, 38:1, 38:4, 45:24, 45:25, 46:1, 46:12, 46:13, 47:7, 47:9 paying [1] - 32:13 payment [3] - 25:5, 27:12, 62:2 pen [1] - 29:24 pending [1] - 26:5 people [55] - 10:3, 17:25, 18:13, 18:21, 19:15, 19:16, 19:20, 19:21, 20:19, 22:23, 23:8, 23:19, 24:24, 25:3, 25:9, 25:12, 25:15, 26:20, 27:18, 27:20, 28:22, 31:14,

COUNTY CLERK 01/16/2024 ILED: NEW YORK 10:55 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

37:19, 42:4, 47:3,

48:6, 48:9, 49:17,

64:24, 65:2, 65:6,

67:2, 67:7, 67:12,

13:9, 23:8, 29:15,

46:7, 46:8, 46:10,

perception [1] -

perfect [1] - 71:5

perimeters [2] -

period [10] - 6:4,

37:8, 43:17, 45:8,

62:23, 64:6, 68:18

person [5] - 4:5,

persons [6] - 4:4,

4:25, 5:3, 5:5, 5:7,

perspective [1] -

72:17, 72:24, 73:1

39:19, 40:5, 41:7,

petitioners' [1] -

PI [2] - 72:2, 74:8

17:9, 53:2, 53:11,

60:7.66:2

52:20

38:25

61.1

48:1

71:2, 76:19

46:11

11:24

60·13

75:21

5:17

40:25

69:10, 72:1

35:6, 36:17, 36:18, 71:19, 74:21 36:24, 37:11, 37:17, pilot [1] - 30:21 pin [1] - 19:24 place [6] - 3:9, 6:1, 50:16, 55:21, 56:19, 11:7, 33:16, 37:23, 58:20, 59:20, 59:24, 42.20 60:10, 61:23, 62:1, places [1] - 28:12 plain [2] - 20:14, 66:4, 66:18, 66:20, 21:19 Plant [1] - 33:1 plate [1] - 34:15 per [6] - 33:17, 46:1, plates [2] - 31:14, 46:24, 46:25, 47:11 31:16 percent [12] - 9:1, plausible [1] - 65:11 play [1] - 40:2 30:24, 30:25, 31:17, playing [1] - 38:13 plummeting [2] -14:5, 17:12 point [32] - 10:6, 10:9, 10:10, 12:20, 13:19, 13:21, 13:24, perform [1] - 17:14 19:18, 23:1, 23:11, perhaps [2] - 12:3, 30:2, 33:9, 34:9, 34:21, 35:3, 41:19, 41:24, 42:6, 43:22, 44:13, 45:11, 45:16, 46:22, 47:11, 49:7, 20:19, 20:22, 31:1, 52:15, 54:6, 54:19, 58:15, 58:22, 60:7, 72:13 Point [1] - 32:25 5:13, 28:25, 30:17, points [4] - 20:10, 24:19. 29:21. 45:3 policies [1] - 8:7 policy [6] - 16:20, 16:24, 19:5, 32:16, 63:10, 68:16 pool [1] - 23:3 petition [4] - 71:12, popping [1] - 19:19 position [13] - 8:2, petitioner [1] - 32:3 16:4, 16:5, 16:12, petitioners [20] - 3:5, 22:5, 35:10, 35:11, 3:12. 3:15. 4:3. 4:7. 47:7, 52:20, 52:21, 9:8. 22:14. 24:3. 24:8. 55:19, 68:9, 69:1 26:19. 30:3. 35:17. positions [1] - 40:25 37:22, 38:17, 38:22, possibility [1] -74:16 possible [3] - 13:21, Petitioners [1] - 1:5 34:7.76:19 post [1] - 17:9 potential [3] - 57:3, petitions [2] - 32:9, 59:4. 69:4 power [5] - 14:22, phone [2] - 60:20, 14:23, 15:8, 16:6, 33:11 physically [2] - 26:7, powers [1] - 42:8 practical [5] - 56:2, 61:14, 63:17, 67:11, pick [7] - 12:16, 15:2, 69:10 practically [6] -

59:22, 60:3, 62:4, 63:22, 65:13, 66:12 practice [3] - 18:20, 55:4, 55:7 Practice [1] - 1:6 pre [2] - 36:4, 57:21 pre-financial [1] -57:21 pre-pandemic [1] -36.4preceding [1] - 14:5 predatory [3] -22:25, 23:9, 26:20 predicament [1] -50:1 predicate [1] - 17:15 predict [1] - 42:9 prejudicial [4] - 27:9, 27:10, 27:11, 42:15 preliminary [5] -38:23, 71:23, 72:21, 73:23, 74:1 premise [1] - 35:22 prepare [2] - 71:2, 76:4 PRESENT [1] - 2:3 present [1] - 52:9 preserve [1] - 19:9 preserving [2] -72:6, 72:12 presiding [2] - 3:3, 6:11 press [6] - 9:15, 10:4, 30:8, 33:25, 41:7, 66:1 presumably [1] -74:18 presume [1] - 73:2 presumption [1] -51:2 pretty [2] - 26:5, 65:25 preventing [1] - 65:6 principle [2] - 31:8, 60:9 priority [4] - 22:4, 50:15, 50:16, 50:20 problem [2] - 19:13, 66:22 problematic [5] -12:5, 18:16, 42:23, 50:12, 58:18 procedurally [1] -59:19 Procedure [3] - 8:17, 8:19, 21:12 procedure [1] - 35:2 proceed [2] - 5:23, 71:3 proceeding [1] -

10:25 proceedings [2] -5:16, 5:19 process [28] - 9:8, 9:19. 11:5. 15:14. 15:18. 15:20. 15:23. 16:11, 19:21, 20:9, 26:6, 28:8, 29:2, 45:15, 47:17, 48:19, 48:23, 52:12, 53:25, 55:16, 56:10, 56:13, 57:20, 58:4, 58:9, 58:10, 62:11 process.. [1] - 54:10 processed [3] -47:21, 55:23, 66:19 processing [11] -7:10, 47:18, 53:23, 55:14, 56:7, 57:8, 57:11, 59:13, 59:18, 61:16, 71:9 professed [2] -22:14, 38:25 program [3] - 19:22, 24:13, 30:21 prohibition [1] -18:15 promulgate [4] -16:18, 20:22, 32:4, 52:15 promulgated [3] -9:13, 15:15, 30:5 promulgation [2] -21:10, 52:13 prong [3] - 18:10, 18:21, 55:10 proof [3] - 60:14, 64:21, 64:22 properly [2] - 30:5, 60:15 proposal [2] - 8:10, 61.25 proposals [1] - 8:7 propose [1] - 59:8 proposed [4] -61:13, 67:19, 76:3, 77:10 proposing [2] - 67:4, 68:11 provide [1] - 15:3 provided [2] - 10:12, 10:21 providing [2] -10:17, 34:3 provision [1] - 10:25 provisions [1] -63:11 public [12] - 5:14, 5:15, 7:18, 9:15, 11:6, 11:22, 30:25, 35:5,

9

35:8, 39:24, 52:13, 60:16 publish.. [1] - 10:1 published [2] - 8:11, 44:15 pull [1] - 65:14 purchase [16] -24:24, 60:14, 64:10, 64:14, 65:3, 65:5, 65:9, 65:14, 68:11, 68:16, 68:23, 69:7, 69:15, 70:1, 70:7 purchased [8] - 25:4, 39:25, 56:20, 60:11, 62:3, 64:20, 68:20, 68:22 purchases [1] - 65:7 purchasing [1] -58.21 purport [1] - 18:1 purpose [1] - 64:17 purposes [6] - 4:12, 6:24, 27:1, 41:22, 67:5, 67:9 Pursuant [1] - 1:6 pursuant [5] - 9:25, 19:22, 21:10, 21:13, 52:25 pursue [2] - 31:9 put [17] - 14:5, 17:23, 19:24, 25:4, 33:19, 34:8, 34:16, 35:10, 38:5, 45:17, 50:19, 51:3, 56:5, 56:20, 62:2, 74:5 putting [7] - 18:24, 21:1, 34:1, 34:20, 47:1, 52:9, 52:10

Q

qualified [1] - 30:25 qualify [1] - 29:4 questions [2] -15:21, 26:25 quick [1] - 45:2 quickly [7] - 22:8, 32:20, 35:4, 37:1, 44:22, 65:20, 65:25 quite [3] - 25:3, 26:10, 30:6 **quo** [3] - 19:10, 25:20, 25:21

R

raise [1] - 51:9 raises [1] - 58:15 raising [1] - 35:3 rate [10] - 40:25,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

24 10:55 AM

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

10

41:17, 42:2, 42:5, 42:12, 42:22, 45:25, 46:1, 46:3, 46:7 rates [4] - 38:1, 46:5, 46:10, 46:17 rather [5] - 19:23, 33:2, 65:20, 67:7, 67:20 rational [4] - 15:3, 17:14, 17:20, 53:4 rationale [1] - 20:4 read [11] - 7:22, 11:18, 12:14, 27:8, 41:11, 53:18, 54:18, 60:25, 61:5, 61:6, 61:9 readiness [1] - 52:2 reading [1] - 10:6 ready [3] - 5:23, 27:6, 60:1 real [1] - 67:13 realize [1] - 61:1 realizing [1] - 60:19 really [6] - 44:16, 54:6, 55:13, 65:5, 65:7, 67:1 reason [4] - 33:4, 49:19, 51:18, 67:22 reasonable [1] -57:24 reasonably [1] -67:14 reasoning [2] -26:22, 35:20 reasons [3] - 39:21, 40:19, 40:21 rebounded [1] - 36:3 receipt [1] - 62:1 receive [2] - 72:5, 76:5 received [3] - 49:2, 53:19, 53:24 receiving [1] - 41:1 recently [1] - 11:1 recognize [1] - 5:7 recommended [1] -21:23 reconciled [1] - 59:8 record [18] - 3:2, 3:9, 6:1, 6:24, 8:15, 26:25, 40:24, 45:1, 46:14, 52:18, 54:20, 57:19, 60:25, 67:18, 76:12, 76:16, 77:2, 77:9 recording [2] - 5:19, 5:21 recovered [4] -31:20, 31:21, 33:18, 36:8 reduce [1] - 22:24

reducing [1] - 27:16 reference [1] - 21:22 referred [2] - 14:8, 24.16referring [2] - 8:18, 73.5 refundable [2] -42:17, 56:24 refused [1] - 32:8 regard [1] - 9:10 regarding [4] -10:13, 11:15, 23:21, 39:7 regulating [1] - 32:5 regulations [2] -45:17, 45:21 regulatory [2] -15:17, 47:12 reinstate [2] - 9:16, 10:1 reinstatement [2] -7:11, 19:8 reinstating [2] -9:13, 9:14 reiterate [1] - 45:6 relate [1] - 8:8 relates [1] - 14:9 relationship [3] -4:6, 4:17, 45:21 relative [1] - 34:25 release [1] - 33:25 released [1] - 18:3 relevant [1] - 43:23 relief [3] - 6:19, 7:7, 7:16 rely [1] - 58:20 remain [2] - 5:16, 36:11 remained [2] - 36:13, 64:8 remaining [1] - 55:9 remains [1] - 54:4 remember [1] - 48:13 remote [1] - 5:14 renewals [1] - 37:17 renewing [2] - 37:18, 37:19 rental [1] - 36:10 reopen [2] - 15:19, 16:25 repeal [2] - 15:15, 33:5 repealed [1] - 9:14 repeat [3] - 4:8, 12:12, 60:23 repeated [1] - 32:8 repeatedly [1] - 32:4 report [16] - 12:8, 12:17, 21:22, 23:14, 33:22, 35:19, 36:3,

36:6, 37:4, 37:5, 37:14, 40:4, 40:6, 43:14, 44:15, 53:8 reported [1] - 36:12 Reporter [6] - 1:25, 6:22, 12:12, 61:4, 61:6.77:17 reporter [5] - 8:14, 12:15, 60:25, 61:10, 77.2 reports [2] - 7:23, 42:25 representations [1] -60:12 representatives [1] -5:12 representing [3] -4:19, 28:19, 28:22 requested [2] -12:14, 61:9 required [10] - 11:9, 11:21, 11:22, 18:5, 21:12, 23:16, 43:7, 49:9, 55:1, 56:13 requirement [2] -27:22, 69:8 requires [9] - 9:5, 34:22, 44:7, 48:18, 52:23, 57:18, 57:21, 68:19, 70:4 requiring [2] - 9:17, 19:16 reserve [2] - 74:19, 75:7 reserving [1] - 72:6 respect [1] - 53:14 respective [4] - 3:11, 7:2, 53:18, 55:2 respond [4] - 13:21, 29:20, 30:2, 35:12 respondent [2] - 6:9, 48:22 Respondents [1] -1:10 respondents [16] -3:8, 3:19, 3:22, 4:2, 4:20, 4:21, 6:20, 25:8, 35:1, 39:22, 42:21, 53:20, 53:23, 54:22, 55:14, 57:10 respondents' [1] -52:22 responding [2] -14:3, 72:25 response [1] - 51:8 responsibility [1] -45:10 restraining [1] -54:13 restricting [1] - 38:9

restrictions [1] -14:16 resulted [1] - 11:18 results [1] - 49:11 retroactively [1] -42:19 Revel [1] - 34:19 review [7] - 43:24, 43:25, 44:1, 69:2, 69:7, 76:9 rhetorical [1] - 12:4 RICHARD [1] - 1:4 rid [1] - 32:6 rides [2] - 36:3, 47:4 ring [1] - 15:11 Rise [9] - 8:9, 8:22, 9:10, 9:24, 10:14, 12:18, 12:24, 13:16, 54:22 risk [1] - 23:18 Rivera [5] - 1:24, 12:13, 77:2, 77:5, 77:17 RIVERA [1] - 77:16 road [8] - 22:11, 23:2, 23:13, 26:18, 34:2, 38:6, 40:8, 47:2 roads [2] - 49:10, 50:10 role [1] - 31:3 roof [1] - 32:14 roughly [3] - 8:6, 46:9, 46:12 round [1] - 19:13 rule [26] - 7:12, 8:23, 9:4, 9:5, 9:13, 9:16, 9:25, 15:12, 15:15, 16:12, 16:18, 16:19, 16:21, 16:24, 17:2, 17:9, 19:4, 19:5, 23:21, 24:2, 30:5, 40:17, 46:6, 52:15, 52:22 rulemaking [24] -8:10, 9:5, 10:24, 11:15, 12:22, 13:17, 14:17, 14:19, 15:8, 17:1, 17:4, 20:8, 21:17, 21:24, 22:2, 30:6, 32:9, 33:12, 34:6, 39:13, 52:5, 52:11, 52:12, 52:23 **Rules** [1] - 1:6 rules [22] - 8:11, 9:9, 9:11, 11:13, 14:23, 15:7, 16:8, 18:6, 20:22, 21:10, 22:3, 23:25, 31:10, 32:4, 43:25, 45:24, 45:25, 47:7, 47:9, 53:1,

53:14 ruling [2] - 62:9, 64:1 rulings [1] - 41:10 run [4] - 36:25, 57:10, 59:23, 66:4 running [2] - 37:11, 59:25 rush [11] - 36:24, 37:16, 59:23, 64:4, 64:16, 64:24, 66:3, 66:10, 69:5, 70:7, 70:15 RYAN [1] - 2:9 Ryan [1] - 33:24

S

safe [1] - 47:8 safety [1] - 46:20 said.. [1] - 15:5 sake [1] - 45:5 sanctity [1] - 55:2 SANOGO [1] - 1:4 Saturday [3] - 66:24, 68:23, 69:25 saw [3] - 36:22, 49:13, 50:11 saying.. [1] - 12:1 scale [1] - 27:13 schedule [9] - 71:12, 71:17, 72:3, 72:15, 72:23, 73:6, 73:13, 74:1, 74:7 screen [6] - 4:4, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:8, 13:24 second [3] - 14:14, 43:19, 63:2 secret [1] - 42:8 secretary's [1] - 75:2 section [5] - 10:23, 11:12, 20:25, 45:7, 45:19 Section [9] - 14:6, 14:7, 14:8, 14:9, 14:19, 15:1, 20:14, 20:16, 20:24 sector [2] - 8:24, 32:10 see [24] - 4:1, 4:4, 4:15, 4:25, 11:23, 12:4, 13:23, 32:19, 39:16, 43:5, 43:9, 44:3, 48:8, 51:12, 51:13, 60:3, 60:20, 70:2, 70:21, 72:11, 72:16, 72:18, 72:22, 74:15 seeing [3] - 33:15, 37:14, 59:16

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

stuff [1] - 61:18

11

seeking [7] - 7:8, 7:16, 20:1, 54:13, 54:14, 57:12, 62:18 seeks [1] - 6:19 seem [1] - 24:8 sees [1] - 49:19 Selvin [36] - 3:21, 3:25, 4:1, 4:20, 5:7, 6:16, 7:4, 10:5, 12:6, 14:8, 18:7, 20:3, 27:17, 28:4, 30:15, 32:2, 41:17, 43:23, 45:24, 56:16, 58:2, 59:2, 60:6, 60:13, 60:19, 60:22, 61:11, 62:10, 64:5, 67:3, 69:1, 70:3, 72:10, 72:23, 76:12 SELVIN [73] - 1:21, 3:20, 5:9, 6:17, 7:5, 10:11, 11:8, 12:7, 12:16, 20:12, 24:23, 26:1, 27:4, 28:10, 28:18, 29:6, 29:11, 29:15, 35:12, 35:16, 36:22, 40:22, 41:5, 41:15, 41:25, 42:2, 43:4, 43:18, 44:5, 44:10, 44:18, 44:21, 47:22, 49:5, 50:7, 51:17, 52:3, 55:25, 56:2, 56:18, 59:10, 60:21, 61:3, 61:12, 62:12, 63:16, 63:20, 65:12, 65:21, 65:24, 66:15, 66:17, 66:23, 69:9, 70:10, 70:18, 71:11, 71:14, 71:22, 72:1, 72:7, 72:24, 73:5, 73:10, 74:6, 74:12, 74:20, 74:24, 75:11, 75:20, 75:23, 76:11, 76:14 Selvin's [3] - 13:21, 30:2, 30:11 send [5] - 70:20, 74:24, 75:1, 75:4, 75:16 Senior [2] - 1:25, 77:17 sense [7] - 28:11, 39:14, 45:22, 47:20, 60:4, 65:17, 70:24 sent [1] - 75:2 sentence [1] - 63:4 separate [1] - 62:11 September [3] -10:14, 21:22, 33:24 serious [1] - 31:2 serve [1] - 17:11

served [1] - 6:3 services [4] - 21:6, 21:7, 38:2, 43:2 set [24] - 8:23, 23:13, 24:3, 37:14, 39:21, 40:6, 40:13, 45:8, 45:25, 46:1, 48:23, 49:1, 49:2, 51:10, 51:11, 51:12, 56:5, 56:10, 65:5, 71:11, 72:13 sets [3] - 23:25, 40:4, 74.9 setting [5] - 12:25, 57:6, 57:15, 57:23, 72:15 shall [1] - 21:12 share [1] - 75:17 SHERRYL [1] - 2:6 short [2] - 53:18, 64.6 shorthand [1] - 6:23 show [7] - 5:24, 6:12, 6:18, 18:22, 18:24, 72:17, 72:20 showing [1] - 62:2 shown [1] - 39:20 shut [3] - 19:23, 25:11, 37:2 side [2] - 5:5, 32:10 significant [4] - 25:9, 25:13, 37:4 simple [1] - 30:4 situation [5] - 27:24, 59:4, 64:4, 67:2, 69:6 six [12] - 22:7, 35:18, 37:8, 39:8, 43:5, 43:15, 43:16, 43:20, 43:24, 43:25, 44:1 six-month [4] - 37:8, 43:16, 43:24, 43:25 small [1] - 50:19 snatched [1] - 17:24 so-called [1] - 44:14 software [1] - 64:8 SOLEIMANY [53] -1:18, 3:13, 4:14, 5:4, 6:15, 7:3, 7:14, 7:20, 8:4, 8:19, 8:22, 9:21, 9:23, 13:20, 14:1, 16:6, 16:13, 18:23, 29:20, 30:1, 36:21, 43:22, 44:13, 45:2, 45:5, 52:19, 57:2, 58:1, 60:5, 60:9, 63:1, 63:6, 63:9, 63:14, 64:2, 64:25, 65:17, 65:23, 66:21, 67:1, 68:7, 68:25, 70:24, 72:19, 73:19, 73:25,

74:4, 74:11, 74:18, 74:23, 75:13, 76:18, 76.25 Soleimany [51] -3:14, 3:18, 4:3, 4:9, 4:13, 5:2, 6:13, 7:2, 7:13. 8:2. 10:8. 12:25. 13:25, 15:22, 18:10, 20:4, 20:13, 24:16, 24:18, 26:22, 27:7, 29:8, 29:18, 35:13, 35:23, 36:16, 38:15, 39:2, 39:23, 44:5, 54:7, 55:24, 56:16, 56:24, 57:13, 57:25, 59:12, 60:23, 61:4, 61:7, 61:13, 62:1, 62:15, 62:24, 68:3, 70:3, 70:23, 72:9, 73:14, 75:17, 76:17 Soleimany's [2] -23:21, 40:9 someone [13] - 28:4, 28:14, 29:3, 29:5, 43:20, 47:17, 47:20, 51:23, 56:11, 57:16, 67:14, 67:22, 69:24 somewhere [1] -18:17 soon [2] - 39:17, 76:9 sorry [1] - 63:1 sort [7] - 11:24, 18:20, 27:24, 41:20, 60:11, 68:10, 69:5 sounds [3] - 71:24, 74:23, 75:13 speaker [1] - 31:5 speaking [1] - 57:1 speaks [1] - 54:7 special [1] - 63:11 specific [1] - 38:21 specifically [5] - 6:2, 10:22, 11:11, 21:9, 48:19 specificity [1] -18:25 specified [1] - 11:11 specify [1] - 12:24 speculative [2] -22:15, 38:25 spend [1] - 46:4 spigot [7] - 22:8, 37:2, 37:12, 39:17, 41:8, 42:5 stable [1] - 36:13 stage [1] - 59:19 stakeholders [2] -10:16, 10:19 standard [1] - 34:24

standards [1] - 8:23 standing [2] - 38:17, 38:22 start [5] - 6:13, 7:6, 7:21, 7:24, 46:17 started [1] - 54:21 starting [2] - 4:5, 71:20 STATE [1] - 1:1 state [3] - 4:17, 7:15, 29:22 statement [3] -19:14, 58:7, 61:8 states [1] - 12:21 status [3] - 19:10, 25:20, 25:21 statutory [1] - 45:21 stenographer [1] -77:2 stenographic [1] -77:14 step [4] - 19:9, 49:13, 69:1, 69:2 still [19] - 4:4, 31:25, 33:1, 33:20, 45:12, 45:14, 45:19, 47:4, 47:5, 52:25, 53:1, 55:10, 57:18, 58:18, 58:20, 58:25, 64:10 stop [5] - 31:11, 39:5, 48:25, 56:7, 59:22 stopping [1] - 34:18 story [1] - 64:13 straightforward [1] -32:17 Street [3] - 1:20, 2:5, 3:24 street [2] - 32:12, 34:10 streets [2] - 34:3, 34:20 struck [1] - 19:23 structure [5] - 14:1, 15:16, 45:6, 45:9, 45.21 structured [2] -30:22, 31:12 study [28] - 11:8, 11:10, 22:7, 22:9, 35:18, 39:8, 39:12, 39:15, 43:7, 43:9, 43:12, 43:14, 43:17, 44:8, 44:19, 49:8, 49:11, 49:15, 49:23, 50:4, 50:10, 50:12, 51:14, 52:16, 54:5, 55:12, 55:13 studying [2] - 43:4,

49:21

subdivision [2] -20:25, 21:14 subject [2] - 19:5, 75:25 submit [5] - 58:25, 64:9, 66:19, 68:24, 76.21 submitted [5] - 9:25, 10:12, 22:1, 58:13, 73:11 submitting [2] -56:22, 67:19 subsection [2] -10:23, 11:12 substance [3] -23:23, 24:7, 24:12 substantially [1] -21:8 suffer [1] - 39:20 sufficient [2] - 62:3, 67:23 suggest [1] - 15:17 suggestion [1] -74:20 Suite [2] - 1:17, 3:16 Sunday [2] - 66:25, 69.25 support [2] - 53:4, 53:9 supports [1] - 17:15 supposed [1] - 43:12 SUPREME [1] - 1:1 surcharge [2] -46:24, 47:9 surfaces [1] - 13:2 sustain [1] - 49:15 SUZY [1] - 1:22 swallow [1] - 15:12 SWEETING [1] - 1:13 Sweeting [1] - 3:3 switched [1] - 44:6

Т

tailor [1] - 65:5tailpipe [1] - 32:22take-home [2] -31:17, 46:13talks [1] - 9:24Taxi [6] - 3:4, 3:6, 3:15, 6:4, 21:2, 32:3TAXI [5] - 1:4, 1:9, 1:9, 1:16, 2:4teacher [1] - 29:1teams [1] - 75:23Teams [2] - 1:11, 75:20tearing [1] - 32:13technical [1] - 12:10

YORK NEW COUNTY CLERK 01/16/2024 10:55 AM 'ILED:

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

INDEX NO. 160795/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/16/2024

12

technology [2] -	they
61:17, 62:22	17:25,
technology-wise [1]	23:17,
- 61:17	35:10,
temporary [1] -	thinl
54:13	56:9, 6
tend [1] - 59:11	thou
tens [1] - 32:11	17:18,
term [3] - 27:8,	36:14,
27:25, 30:13	49:23,
TERM [1] - 1:2	thou
termed [1] - 8:9	26:17,
terms [8] - 15:12,	three
28:7, 28:10, 30:10,	16:2,
45:20, 45:23, 70:25,	31:13,
73:20	42:10,
testimony [2] -	three
12:14, 61:9	three
THE [112] - 1:1, 1:9,	Thuı 65:19,
1:9, 1:10, 2:4, 3:1, 3:18, 3:25, 4:9, 4:11	73:18
3:18, 3:25, 4:9, 4:11,	TikT
4:15, 4:22, 5:6, 5:13, 6:16, 6:18, 7:4, 7:6,	tip [1
7:15, 7:21, 8:13, 8:21,	TLC
9:20, 9:22, 10:5, 11:3,	8:7, 8:
11:17, 12:11, 13:19,	9:14, ⁻
13:23, 15:21, 16:9,	11:9, ⁻
18:7, 19:24, 24:17,	11:15,
25:25, 26:24, 27:5,	12:17,
28:16, 28:24, 29:10,	14:17,
29:13, 29:18, 29:23,	15:5, ⁻
35:15, 40:20, 40:23,	15:25,
41:9, 41:17, 42:1,	18:11,
42:7, 43:13, 44:3,	19:13,
44:8, 44:20, 44:24,	20:21,
45:4, 47:16, 48:16,	22:3, 2
49:22, 50:22, 51:24,	22:20,
52:18, 53:17, 56:1,	23:14,
56:9, 57:5, 59:6, 60:8,	25:24,
60:22, 61:4, 61:11,	26:14,
62:6, 62:15, 63:5,	28:4, 2 30:21,
63:8, 63:13, 63:15, 63:19, 63:24, 64:18,	33:11,
65:9, 66:14, 66:16,	33:25,
67:5, 68:17, 69:20,	35:4, 3
70:12, 70:23, 71:4,	35:25,
71:13, 71:16, 71:25,	39:6, 3
	42:21,
72:4, 72:8, 72:22, 73:4, 73:7, 73:15,	44:22,
73:24, 74:3, 74:5,	46:5, 4
74:13, 75:4, 75:15,	48:8, 4
75:22, 75:24, 76:12,	49:25,
76:16, 76:23, 77:1,	51:17,
77:10	52:25,
themselves [2] -	57:3, 5
33:6, 35:10	58:13,
theoretically [1] -	60:12,
47:21	63:10,
therefore [2] - 54:16,	65:1, 6
55:1	TLC

35:11 ey've [9] - 17:17, 25, 20:5, 22:6, today [21] - 4:21, 7, 26:5, 32:8, 0, 37:14 nking [3] - 55:10, 9, 67:23 ousand [10] -8, 17:23, 19:14, 77:3 4, 49:7, 49:13, 23, 58:5, 66:8 ousands [2] -7, 32:11 ree [10] - 5:11, 2, 16:4, 25:22, 3, 41:1, 41:18, 0, 44:12, 69:5 ree-day [1] - 69:5 67:25 reshold [1] - 46:16 ursday [5] - 63:23, 9, 67:20, 68:14, 76:8 **kTok** [1] - 5:20 31:1 [1] - 27:13 **C** [108] - 5:5, 5:12, 8:9, 8:11, 9:12, 4, 10:1, 10:23, 9, 11:10, 11:12, 5, 12:9, 12:11, 7, 12:22, 14:16, 7, 14:18, 14:21, 5, 15:22, 15:24, 25, 16:6, 16:14, 1, 19:2, 19:8, 3, 19:16, 20:4, 21, 21:16, 21:22, 3, 22:5, 22:18, 20, 22:22, 23:4, 4, 24:9, 25:16, 24, 26:3, 26:4, 4, 27:20, 27:22, 4, 29:7, 30:5, 73:16 21, 32:4, 33:4, 1, 33:18, 33:23, 49:14 25, 34:8, 34:22, 4, 35:6, 35:18, 25, 37:21, 38:1, 6, 39:11, 39:14, 21, 43:21, 43:24, 22, 45:11, 45:17, 46.24 5, 46:14, 47:8, 3, 49:6, 49:19, 25, 50:9, 51:8, 7, 52:5, 52:10, 25, 54:15, 54:22, 73:22 3, 58:3, 58:12, 3, 58:24, 59:22, 55:3 2, 60:15, 61:20, 0, 63:18, 64:15, , 69:7 **C's** [2] - 15:17,

24:9, 26:1, 26:4, 26:14, 29:16, 47:21, 48:12, 51:11, 53:19, 56:6, 57:16, 60:14, 66:13, 71:24, 72:4, 74:15, 76:2, 76:7, today's [1] - 4:12 together [3] - 12:11, 31:11, 31:12 tomorrow [10] -52:17, 56:6, 61:20, 62:4, 65:1, 65:10, 66:3, 66:4, 66:10, ton [1] - 38:5 tonight [2] - 66:4, took [5] - 29:1, 30:12, 30:14, 30:15, topic [1] - 38:3 total [3] - 26:2, 29:17, 41:18 totally [1] - 9:23 touch [1] - 44:21 toward [1] - 67:20 Toyota [1] - 32:7 traffic [6] - 17:13, 21:8, 23:14, 33:19, 37:24, 43:1 transcript [1] - 77:13 transcripts [1] - 10:7 transition [3] - 9:5, 9:17, 33:8 transparency [2] -6:7, 11:19 transpires [1] tremendous [1] trial [8] - 51:2, 51:3, 51:5, 71:20, 71:21, 74:17, 75:25, 76:2 tried [1] - 17:2 trip [3] - 36:12, 46:1, trips [4] - 9:1, 33:17, 46:2, 46:21 TRO [5] - 40:22, 71:24, 72:20, 73:12, troublesome [1] true [3] - 45:12, 45:13, 77:13 try [1] - 64:24 trying [2] - 41:8,

57:23 Tuesday [10] - 71:8, 71:9, 73:17, 74:14, 74:21, 75:9, 75:11, 75:13, 75:18, 75:21 turn [5] - 13:19, 29:24, 39:17, 41:8, 66:23 turned [1] - 39:18 turns [1] - 22:9 twice [1] - 15:18 two [14] - 8:6, 8:7, 14:2, 15:21, 16:14, 28:12, 30:15, 31:11, 59:10, 69:6, 72:4, 74:9, 76:23 type [2] - 10:19, 64:4 typing [1] - 77:6

unmet [1] - 49:14 unmute [2] - 4:16, 57:25 **up** [26] - 9:18, 10:19, 11:1, 11:5, 12:16, 12:19, 13:12, 13:23, 16:3, 17:2, 17:24, 21:23, 22:6, 32:11, 35:21, 37:13, 37:17, 39:14, 41:23, 49:9, 49:25, 50:16, 52:16, 55:4, 61:18, 63:2 updated [2] - 27:3, 70:19 uploading [1] - 67:9 urge [1] - 40:22 utilization [2] - 46:3, 46:7

V

U

U.S [1] - 63:11 Uber [4] - 13:2, 38:2, 38:4, 46:15 Ubers [1] - 8:25 ultimately [1] - 19:23 ultra [1] - 23:22 uncapped [1] - 18:14 unclear [1] - 54:4 under [12] - 11:1, 11:21, 17:7, 18:6, 23:16, 24:6, 27:23, 30:5, 30:24, 32:1, 33:12, 58:10 underlying [7] -41:2, 71:12, 72:3, 72:16, 72:17, 72:25, 74:8 undermine [1] - 34:3 understood [2] -10:18, 56:13 unfortunately [1] -13:6 unidentified [1] -5:17 unified [1] - 68:12 universally [2] -16:20, 16:23 universe [1] - 50:1 unlawful [1] - 19:7 unless [8] - 42:8, 64:23, 65:4, 67:22, 69:24, 73:8, 73:10 unlike [1] - 19:13 unlimited [15] - 9:18, 15:6, 15:8, 20:7, 22:6, 24:5, 24:10, 40:15, 40:16, 50:1, 50:17, 50:18, 53:12, 58:4, 66:6

vacated [1] - 75:8 validity [1] - 26:9 variable [1] - 41:20 variables [1] - 43:6 vary [2] - 47:18, 48:3 vast [1] - 22:19 vehicle [49] - 6:22, 6:24, 6:25, 7:11, 8:24, 8:25, 13:7, 16:23, 19:16, 21:3, 21:4, 23:20, 25:1, 25:4, 26:6, 26:21, 27:12, 28:5, 28:7, 28:9, 28:13, 28:14, 28:16, 29:4, 29:5, 29:9, 32:10, 33:25, 34:23, 42:19, 46:25, 57:4, 57:22, 58:8, 58:10, 58:21, 60:11, 64:20, 64:24, 65:3, 68:19, 68:22, 68:23, 69:16, 70:1, 70:5, 70:7 vehicles [44] - 8:8, 9:2, 9:6, 9:7, 9:18, 13:3, 13:5, 13:10, 13:13, 14:4, 16:16, 19:20, 19:22, 20:20, 22:10, 22:21, 23:2, 23:4, 23:10, 23:12, 24:24, 26:4, 26:10, 26:13, 26:15, 26:18, 28:6, 28:20, 30:13, 30:16, 32:5, 32:12, 37:20, 38:20, 40:1, 40:10, 40:12, 43:10, 48:12, 56:20, 65:3, 65:15 verified [2] - 44:6, 73:2

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 100

13

verify [4] - 44:3, 44:11, 44:12, 47:25 versus [1] - 61:16 veterans [7] - 62:10, 62:11, 62:13, 62:14, 16:15 62:17, 63:11, 63:17 Veterans [1] - 62:20 69:25 video [1] - 5:20 VIN [3] - 25:1, 56:21, 69:13 violation [1] - 5:22 vires [1] - 23:22 voiced [1] - 38:14 void [1] - 19:6 volume [4] - 8:24, 13:2, 13:8, 38:2 volunteering [1] -76:5 1:16 W wage [1] - 30:24 wait [6] - 17:25, 30:17, 36:13, 36:17, 48:5, 66:7 waiting [3] - 55:21, 60:5 wants [1] - 33:19 WANTTANJA[1] -2:9 Wanttanja [1] - 33:24 wary [1] - 60:24 WAV [3] - 30:13, 40:15, 40:16 WAV's [7] - 13:9, 26:18, 30:3, 30:10, 40:10, 40:11, 53:7 web [1] - 66:18 website [3] - 61:18, 61:20, 66:23 32:7 Wednesday [5] -67:17, 71:9, 73:17, 74:14, 75:10 weed [1] - 38:3 week [4] - 31:19, 32:8, 51:12, 51:13 weekend [6] - 64:3, 64:15, 65:7, 69:5, 69:22, 70:22 weeks [7] - 25:22, 30:15, 31:13, 41:1, 41:18, 42:10, 47:24 weigh [2] - 25:7, 39:22 welcome [1] - 56:25 wheelchair [8] -13:4, 13:6, 13:9, 20:20, 26:10, 26:12, 26:15, 30:12 wherein [1] - 59:4

whole [7] - 19:5, 52:12, 55:20, 65:23, 65:24, 69:22 wholesale [2] - 15:9, window [2] - 62:20, wise [1] - 61:17 wish [7] - 44:24, 63:25, 70:12, 72:8, 73:16, 74:2, 75:18 wishes [1] - 74:13 wonderful [1] - 49:17 word [3] - 24:1, 65:24, 66:2 words [3] - 28:4, 49:1, 52:1 WORKERS [2] - 1:4, Workers [3] - 3:4, 3:15, 32:3 works [1] - 76:25 world [1] - 13:3 worn [1] - 26:25 worse [1] - 38:20 write [1] - 15:7 writing [1] - 55:3 wrote [3] - 14:23, 15:9, 33:25 Υ

year [11] - 6:2, 6:5, 26:14, 26:18, 31:17, 31:18, 37:6, 40:11, 43:25, 46:6 years [7] - 6:2, 6:5, 6:10, 13:1, 14:5, 32:25, 37:24 yellow [2] - 32:6, YORK [9] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:9, 1:9, 1:10, 1:16, 1:20, 2:4 York [16] - 1:17, 1:21, 2:5, 3:4, 3:6, 3:15, 3:16, 3:21, 3:23, 3:24, 5:10, 21:11, 32:24 you.. [1] - 29:1 yourself [4] - 4:6, 4:16, 40:20, 57:25

Ζ

zero [2] - 9:15, 9:16 zone [1] - 46:7 Zubin [1] - 3:14 ZUBIN [1] - 1:18